Partially Homomorphic Cryptography



Homomorphic Cryptography

- Homomorphic encryption is a form of encryption that allows computations to be carried out on ciphertexts
- The encrypted result which, when decrypted, matches the result of certain operations performed on the plaintext
- Fully homomorphic encryption algorithms allow several operations, such as addition, multiplication, and multiplication by a scalar
- It may also allow more complicated functions

Homomorphic Cryptography

For example, given c₁ = E(m₁) and c₂ = E(m₂), and a scalar α, we may be able perform certain operations on ciphertexts c₁ and c₂, and obtain the ciphertexts that are encryptions of:

$$c_1 \oplus c_2 = E(m_1) \oplus E(m_2) = E(m_1 + m_2)$$

$$c_1 \odot c_2 = E(m_1) \odot E(m_2) = E(m_1 \cdot m_2)$$

$$\alpha \otimes c_1 = \alpha \otimes E(m_1) = E(\alpha \cdot m_1)$$

- Other operations such as $m_1 < m_2$ are also very useful
- A fully homomorphic encryption function allows the computation of any function g() over the plaintext with the help of another function f() over the ciphertext

$$c = E(m) \Rightarrow f(c) = E(g(m))$$

Partially Homomorphic PKC

- Many public-key cryptographic functions are partially homomorphic, that is, they allow a subset of ciphertext operations
- For example, consider that c = E(m) is the RSA function, i.e.,
 c = E(m) = m^e (mod n), where (e, n) are the RSA public (or private) exponent and the modulus
- Given $c_1 = E(m_1)$ and $c_2 = E(m_2)$, we can perform modular multiplications

$$c_1 \cdot c_2 = E(m_1) \cdot E(m_2) \pmod{n}$$
$$= m_1^e \cdot m_2^e \pmod{n}$$
$$= (m_1 \cdot m_2)^e \pmod{n}$$
$$= E(m_1 \cdot m_2)$$

• Therefore, the RSA encryption is **multiplicatively** homomorphic

Additively Homomorphic RSA?

- Is the RSA encryption is additively homomorphic?
- Unfortunately, the encrypted text $E(m_1 + m_2)$ cannot be easily obtained using the ciphertexts $c_1 = E(m_1)$ and $c_2 = E(m_2)$

$$E(m_1 + m_2) = (m_1 + m_2)^e \pmod{n}$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} m_1^e \oplus m_2^e \pmod{n}$$

- There does not seem to exist a simple operation ⊕ so that when we apply c₁ ⊕ c₂, we could obtain E(m₁ + m₂)
- The RSA encryption does not seem to be additively homomorphic

Multiplicatively Homomorphic ElGamal

- Several PKC algorithms are also multiplicatively homomorphic: ElGamal, Goldwasser-Micali, Benaloh, and Paillier
- In ElGamal, the encryption of m_1 is obtained as the pair (c_{11}, c_{12})

$$c_{11} = g^{r_1} \pmod{p}$$

 $c_{12} = m_1 \cdot y^{r_1} \pmod{p}$

• Similarly, the encryption of m_2 is obtained as the pair (c_{21}, c_{22})

$$c_{21} = g^{r_2} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_{22} = m_2 \cdot y^{r_2} \pmod{p}$$

such that the random numbers r_1 and r_2 are (obviously) different

Multiplicatively Homomorphic ElGamal

• The pairwise product of the ciphertext pairs would give

$$c_{11} \cdot c_{21} = g^{r_1} \cdot g^{r_2} \pmod{p}$$

= $g^{r_1+r_2} \pmod{p}$

$$c_{12} \cdot c_{22} = (m_1 \cdot y^{r_1}) \cdot (m_2 \cdot y^{r_2}) \pmod{p}$$

= $m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot y^{r_1+r_2} \pmod{p}$

• Therefore, we conclude that the product pair

$$(c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \mod p, c_{12} \cdot c_{22} \mod p)$$

is the encryption of the product of the plaintexts

$$m_1 \cdot m_2 \pmod{p}$$

with the random number $r_1 + r_2$

http://koclab.org

- It turns out ElGamal algorithm can be made additively homomorphic by making a small change in the method
- The additively homomorphic ElGamal encryption is also based on the large prime *p* and the primitive root *g* mod *p*
- The private key is $x \in \mathcal{Z}_p^*$ and the public key $y = g^x \pmod{p}$
- Encryption of the plaintext $m \in \mathcal{Z}_p^*$
- The sender generates a random number r and computes

$$c_1 = g^r \pmod{p}$$

$$c_2 = g^m \cdot y^r \pmod{p}$$

• The encryption of m is the ciphertext pair $E(m) = (c_1, c_2)$

- **Decryption** of the ciphertext (c_1, c_2)
- The receiver has the private key x and computes u₁ and u₂ as

$$u_1 = c_1^x = (g^r)^x = (g^x)^r = y^r \pmod{p}$$

$$u_2 = u_1^{-1} \cdot c_2 = y^{-r} \cdot (g^m \cdot y^r) = g^m \pmod{p}$$

which are found as $u_1 = y^r$ and $u_2 = g^m$

- However, the legitimate owner of the private cannot decrypt the ciphertext pair (c_1, c_2) to obtain m
- In order to find *m* from $u_2 = g^m$, the receiver needs to solve a DLP, which is an intractable problem

- If we ignore the problem that the legitimate owner of the private key cannot decrypt the ciphertext pair (c_1, c_2) to obtain m, we can check to see that this new version of ElGamal is additively homomorphic
- Element addition \oplus operation: Given $E(m) = (c_1, c_2)$ and $E(m') = (c'_1, c'_2)$ for arbitrary plaintexts m and m', we compute $E(m) \oplus E(m') = (c''_1, c''_2)$ using

$$c_1'' = c_1 \cdot c_1' \pmod{p}$$

$$c_2'' = c_2 \cdot c_2' \pmod{p}$$

• Then, it is easy to see that the ciphertext pair (c_1'', c_2'') is the encryption of E(m + m')

Element Addition

• We have indeed obtained

$$c_{1} = g^{r} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_{2} = g^{m} \cdot y^{r} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_{1}' = g^{r'} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_{2}' = g^{m'} \cdot y^{r'} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_{1}'' = g^{r} \cdot g^{r'} = g^{r+r'} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_{1}'' = (g^{m} \cdot y^{r}) \cdot (g^{m'} \cdot y^{r'}) = g^{m+m'} \cdot y^{r+r'} \pmod{p}$$

• In other words, the pair (c_1'', c_2'') is the encryption of m + m' with the random number r + r'

$$E(m) \oplus E(m) = E(m+m') = (c_1'', c_2'') = (g^{r''}, g^{m+m'} \cdot y^{r''})$$

Scalar-by-Element Multiplication

 Given an arbitrary scalar α and the element E(m) = (c₁, c₂), the scalar-by-element multiplication requires the computation of

$$c'_1 = c^{\alpha}_1 \pmod{p}$$
(1)
$$c'_2 = c^{\alpha}_2 \pmod{p}$$

• This gives $E(\alpha m)$ since

$$c_1' = c_1^{\alpha} = g^{r\alpha} = g^{\alpha r} \pmod{p}$$

$$c_2' = c_2^{\alpha} = (g^m \cdot y^r)^{\alpha} = g^{\alpha m} \cdot y^{\alpha r} \pmod{p}$$

 In other words, the pair (c'₁, c'₂) is the encryption of α m since, for some random r' = α r, we have

$$E(\alpha m) = (c'_1, c'_2) = (g^{r'}, g^{\alpha m} \cdot y^{r'})$$

- Therefore, we see that this new definition of the ElGamal encryption algorithm has two basic properties of additively homomorphic functions: Element Addition and Scalar-by-Element Multiplication
- However, we also know that given a pair of ciphertext (c_1, c_2) , the legitimate owner of the private key can only obtain $g^m \pmod{p}$ by decryption, but cannot obtain m
- However, interestingly, while the legitimate user cannot decrypt (c_1, c_2) to obtain m, she can discover whether the plaintext is zero: m = 0
- In other words, if m = 0, the legitimate owner of the private key can decrypt it

Decryption of Zero in Additively Homomorphic ElGamal

• Encryption of m = 0: The sender generates a random number r and computes the ciphertext:

$$c_1 = g^r \pmod{p}$$

$$c_2 = g^0 \cdot y^r = y^r \pmod{p}$$

• **Decryption for** m = 0: The receiver computes u_1 and u_2

$$u_1 = c_1^{x} = y^r \pmod{p}$$

$$u_2 = u_1^{-1} \cdot c_2 = y^{-r} \cdot y^r = 1 \pmod{p}$$

• The receiver decides whether m = 0 by checking if $u_2 = 1$

$$u_2 = 1 \Rightarrow m = 0$$

Decryption of Zero in Additively Homomorphic ElGamal

- The decryption of zero property allows one to check for equality of two plaintexts whose ciphertexts are given
- This operation however requires access to the decryption key
- It is important to realize that ElGamal encryption algorithm is randomized: every encryption (even, of the same plaintext) involves a different random number *r*
- Therefore, the encryption of the same *m* will produce a different ciphertext pair at each encryption
- Given m = m' with $E(m) = (c_1, c_2)$ and $E(m') = (c'_1, c'_2)$, the cipher text pairs are not equal $c_1 \neq c'_1$ and $c_2 \neq c'_2$

Equality Checking Operation

- Given $E(m) = (c_1, c_2)$ and $E(\hat{m}) = (\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2)$, the equality checking determines if $m = \hat{m}$
- First: we perform scalar-by-element multiplication of E(m̂) using the scalar α = −1 to obtain E(−m̂) = (c'₁, c'₂)

$$egin{array}{rcl} c_1' &=& \hat{c}_1^lpha &= \hat{c}_1^{-1} \pmod{p} \ c_2' &=& \hat{c}_2^lpha &= \hat{c}_2^{-1} \pmod{p} \end{array}$$

• This gives $E(-\hat{m}) = (c'_1, c'_2)$

Equality Checking Operation

• Second: we perform the element addition operation $E(m) + E(-\hat{m})$ on the given ciphertext pairs, and

$$c_1'' = c_1 \cdot c_1' \pmod{p}$$
(2)
$$c_2'' = c_2 \cdot c_2' \pmod{p}$$

- Therefore, we obtain the ciphertext of the encrypted sum $E(m \hat{m}) = (c''_1, c''_2)$
- Now, if $m = \hat{m}$, this ciphertext pair must be the encryption of 0

- Third: the legitimate user performs Decryption of Zero operation
- This is accomplished using the operation steps:

$$u_1 = (c_1'')^{\times} \pmod{p}$$
$$u_2 = u_1^{-1} \cdot c_2'' \pmod{p}$$
$$u_2 = 1 \implies m = \hat{m}$$

- First and Second steps are accomplished without having access to the private key, and the resulting pair (c_1'', c_2'') is sent to the owner of the private key, who performs Decryption of Zero operation and decides if $m = \hat{m}$
- This property allows secure search