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## Last time: proximal gradient descent

Consider the problem

$$
\min _{x} g(x)+h(x)
$$

with $g, h$ convex, $g$ differentiable, and $h$ "simple" in so much as

$$
\operatorname{prox}_{t}(x)=\underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2 t}\|x-z\|_{2}^{2}+h(z)
$$

is computable. Proximal gradient descent: let $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, repeat:

$$
x^{(k)}=\operatorname{prox}_{t_{k}}\left(x^{(k-1)}-t_{k} \nabla g\left(x^{(k-1)}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Step sizes $t_{k}$ chosen to be fixed and small, or via backtracking
If $\nabla g$ is Lipschitz with constant $L$, then this has convergence rate $O(1 / \epsilon)$. Lastly we can accelerate this, to optimal rate $O(1 / \sqrt{\epsilon})$

## Last time: proximal gradient descent

In the convergence proof (HW2 Q3), we rewrote update as the following:

$$
x^{(k)}=x^{(k-1)}-t_{k} \cdot G_{t_{k}}\left(x^{(k-1)}\right)
$$

where $G_{t}$ is the generalized gradient of $f$, (Nesterov's Gradient Mapping!)

$$
G_{t}(x)=\frac{x-\operatorname{prox}_{t}(x-t \nabla g(x))}{t}
$$

Then we more or less followed the convergence proof of the standard Gradient Descent (Lecture 3).

What is $G_{t}$ ? Is $G_{t}$ the gradient of some function?
What exactly is the proximal gradient algorithm descent doing?

## Outline

## Today:

- Fenchel conjugate
- Prox Operator, Moreau Envelope and Smoothing
- Interpreting proximal algorithms


## (Fenchel) Conjugate function

Given a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define its conjugate $f^{*}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f^{*}(y)=\max _{x} y^{T} x-f(x)
$$

Note that $f^{*}$ is always convex, since it is the pointwise maximum of convex (affine) functions in $y$ (here $f$ need not be convex)

$f^{*}(y)$ : maximum gap between linear function $y^{T} x$ and $f(x)$
(From B \& V page 91)

For differentiable $f$, conjugation is called the Legendre transform

## Examples:

- Simple quadratic: let $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{T} Q x$, where $Q \succ 0$. Then $y^{T} x-\frac{1}{2} x^{T} Q x$ is strictly concave in $x$ and is maximized at $x=Q^{-1} y$, so

$$
f^{*}(y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{T} Q^{-1} y
$$

- Indicator function: if $f(x)=I_{C}(x)$, then its conjugate is

$$
f^{*}(y)=I_{C}^{*}(y)=\max _{x \in C} y^{T} x
$$

called the support function of $C$

- Norm: if $f(x)=\|x\|$, then its conjugate is

$$
f^{*}(y)=I_{\left\{z:\|z\|_{*} \leq 1\right\}}(y)
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ is the dual norm of $\|\cdot\|$

## Properties:

- Fenchel's inequality: for any $x, y$,

$$
f(x)+f^{*}(y) \geq x^{T} y
$$

- Conjugate of conjugate $f^{* *}$ satisfies $f^{* *} \leq f$
- If $f$ is closed and convex, then $f^{* *}=f$
- If $f$ is closed and convex, then for any $x, y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \in \partial f^{*}(y) & \Longleftrightarrow y \in \partial f(x) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f(x)+f^{*}(y)=x^{T} y
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $f(u, v)=f_{1}(u)+f_{2}(v)$, then

$$
f^{*}(w, z)=f_{1}^{*}(w)+f_{2}^{*}(z)
$$

## Moreau Envelope and Smoothing

We talked about prox operator

$$
\operatorname{prox}_{t, f}(x) \in \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2 t}\|y-x\|^{2}+f(y)
$$

Note that the output of prox is in the $\operatorname{dom}_{f}$. The Moreau envelope of a function $f$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{t, f}(x) & :=\min _{y} \frac{1}{2 t}\|y-x\|^{2}+f(y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 t}\left\|\operatorname{prox}_{t, f}(x)-x\right\|^{2}+f\left(\operatorname{prox}_{t, f}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Moreau envelope outputs the optimal objective value.
These quantities can be defined by for general functions but many of their remarkable properties only apply to convex $f$.

## Example: Huber function

Coming from robust statistics (Huber, 1964, Annals of Statistics).

$$
L_{\delta}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} x^{2} & \text { if }|x| \leq \delta \\ \delta\left(|x|-\frac{1}{2} \delta\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We can rewrite the Huber function as the Moreau Envelope of the absolute value function $|\cdot|$.

$$
M_{\delta|\cdot|}(x)=\min _{y} \frac{1}{2}(x-y)^{2}+\delta|y|
$$

## Proof.

We know that the argmax is the soft-shresholding operator.
Substitute that into the equation. If $|x|>\delta$, the optimal solution $y^{*}=x-\delta \operatorname{sign}(x)$, and the criterion value is $\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}+\delta|x|-\delta^{2}$.
If $|x|<\delta$, the $y^{*}=0$ and $M_{\delta|\cdot|}(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}$

## Example: Huber function


(Stolen from Yaoliang Yu's wonderful notes. [Click Here]. )

## Properties of a Moreau Envelope and Prox Operator

1. (Yoshida-Moreau Smoothing) $M_{t, f}(x)$ of any convex function is $1 / t$-smooth. (Need duality to write down a clean proof.)
2. (Preservation of optimal criterion.) $\min _{x} f(x)=\min _{x} M_{f}(x)$.
3. (Preservation of optimal solution.) $x$ minimizes $f$ if and only if $x$ minimizes $M_{t, f}(x)$ for all $t>0$ (even for nonconvex functions).
4. (Gradient of a Moreau-Envelope) $\nabla M_{t, f}(x)=\frac{x-\operatorname{prox}_{t, f}(x)}{t}$
5. (Fixed Point Iteration) $x^{*}$ minimizes $f$ if and only if $x^{*}=\operatorname{prox}_{t, f}\left(x^{*}\right)$.

## More properties of a Moreau Envelope and Prox Operator

1. (Moreau Decomposition) $x=\operatorname{prox}_{f}(x)+\operatorname{prox}_{f^{*}}(x)$

- You can think of it as a generalization of the orthogonal projection decomposition to a subspace $S$

$$
x=\Pi_{S}(x)+\Pi_{S^{\perp}}(x) .
$$

- Combine with the gradients, you have: $\nabla M_{f}(x)=\operatorname{prox}_{f^{*}}(x)$.

2. (Proximal average) Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ be closed proper convex functions, there exists a convex function $g$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{prox}_{f}=\operatorname{prox}_{g}
$$

3. (Non-Expansiveness) $\operatorname{prox}_{f}$ is a non-expansion, namely, for all $x, y$

$$
\left\|\operatorname{prox}_{f}(x)-\operatorname{prox}_{f}(y)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle x-y, \operatorname{prox}_{f}(x)-\operatorname{prox}_{f}(y)\right\rangle
$$

## Operator-theoretic view of a prox operator

$\partial f$ maps a point $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ to the set $\partial f(x)$.
$(I+t \partial f)^{-1}$ is called the resolvent of an operator $\partial f$.
Theorem: Consider convex function $f$ (so that the subgradient exists in the rel-int)

$$
\operatorname{prox}_{t, f}(x)=(I+t \partial f)^{-1}(x)
$$

Proof: Recall the definition:
$\operatorname{prox}_{f}(x)=\operatorname{argmin}_{y} \frac{1}{2}\|y-x\|^{2}+f(y)$.
By the first order optimality condition $x^{*}$ obeys that

$$
0 \in\left(x^{*}-x\right)+\partial f\left(x^{*}\right) \Leftrightarrow x \in x^{*}+\partial f\left(x^{*}\right)=(\mathrm{I}+\partial f)\left(x^{*}\right)
$$

if an only if

$$
x^{*}=(\mathrm{I}+\partial f)^{-1} x
$$

## Proximal Point Algorithm (aka Proximal Minimization)

To minimize a convex function $f$. Iterate:

$$
x^{k+1}=\operatorname{prox}_{t f}\left(x^{k}\right)
$$

1. This is a fixed point iteration (note that prox is a non-expansion).

$$
x^{k+1}=(\mathrm{I}+t \partial f)^{-1} x^{k} .
$$

2. Also, this is a gradient descent on the Moreau Envelope.

$$
x^{k+1}=x_{k}-\left(\mathrm{I}-(\mathrm{I}+t \partial f)^{-1}\right) x_{k}=x_{k}-t \nabla M_{f}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

Question: Is the learning rate appropriate for the GD to converge?

## Proximal Gradient Algorithm

For minimizing a composition objective $f+h$

$$
x^{k+1}=\operatorname{prox}_{t h}\left(x^{k}-t \nabla f\left(x^{k}\right)\right)
$$

1. As a fixed point iteration:

$$
x^{k+1}=(I+t \partial h)^{-1}(I-t \nabla f) x_{k}
$$

2. As a Smoothed Majorization-Minimization objective

$$
x^{k+1}=\underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f\left(x^{k}\right)+\left\langle\nabla f\left(x^{k}\right), y-x^{k}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2 t}\left\|y-x_{k}\right\|^{2}+h(y)
$$

3. The generalized gradient is the gradient of a Moreau-Envelope of $f_{\text {Linearized }}+h$ at $x^{k}$.

## Summary of Proximal Algorithms

1. Proximal point algorithm is to minimize the smoothed version of a nonsmooth objective using gradient descent.
2. Proximal gradient is to combine the idea of local quadratic approximation (with Majorization-Minimization) with the Moreau-Yoshida smoothing.
3. We can express things in operator-theoretic form as fixed point iterations.
4. If the fixed point iterations are conducted using a contraction map, then we have linear convergence.
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