#### CS291A Introduction to Differential Privacy

Fall 2021

Lecture 6: Advanced Composition (Part II), Gaussian mechanism (October 13)

Lecturer: Yu-Xiang Wang Scribes: Kaiqi Zhang

Note: LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.

**Disclaimer**: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

## 6.1 Recap

## 6.1.1 Exponential mechanism

Utility of Exponential mechanism: utility is defined as

$$u(x,y) = -\max_{q \in Q} \left| \frac{1}{n} q^T x - \frac{1}{\|y\|_1} q^T y \right|$$

It satisfy with probability  $1 - \beta$ ,

$$u(x, y^*) - u(x, \mathcal{M}(x)) \le \frac{2\Delta u}{\epsilon} \log \frac{|R|}{\beta}$$

Approximation error of a SmallDB: Let  $m = \|\tilde{x}\|_1 \ge \frac{\log Q}{\alpha^2}$ ,  $\exists \tilde{x}, s.t.error(\tilde{x}) \le \alpha$ , and with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$error(\mathcal{M}(x)) \le \alpha + \frac{2}{n\epsilon} \Big( \frac{\log|x|\log|Q|}{\alpha^2} + \log\frac{1}{\beta} \Big).$$

## 6.1.2 Advanced composition

**Theorem 1.** The adaptive composition of k  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP mechanisms satisfies  $(\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\delta})$ -DP where

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon \sqrt{2k \log(1/\delta')} + 2k\epsilon^2, \tilde{\delta} = k\delta + \delta'$$

for any  $\epsilon, \delta \geq 0, \delta' \geq 0$ .

Application: Laplace mechanism, AboveThresh.

## 6.1.3 Privacy loss random variable

Definition:

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}^{x,x'} = \log \frac{p(y)}{p(y')}$$

where  $y \sim \mathcal{M}(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.** (Tail bound to  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP conversion). Let  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_i}^{x,x'}$  be the PLRV of a mechanism. If

$$P(\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_i}^{x,x'} > \epsilon) \le \delta$$

for all pairs of neighboring x, x', then  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP.

# 6.2 Proof of advanced composition for pure DP mechanisms

Claim 3. The privacy loss random variable (PLRV) of adaptive composition is the sum of each mechanism.

*Proof.* Fix two neighboring datasets, consider a sequence of adaptively chosen pure-DP mechanisms  $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_k$ , outputing  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  respectively.

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_{1}...\mathcal{M}_{k}}^{x,x'} = \log \frac{p(y_{1}...y_{k})}{p'(y_{1}...y_{k})}$$

$$= \log \frac{p(y_{1})p(y_{2}|y_{1})p(y_{k}|y_{1}...y_{k-1})}{p'(p(y_{1})p'(y_{2}|y_{1})p'(y_{k}|y_{1}...y_{k-1})}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_{i}(\cdot,y_{1}...y_{i-1})}^{x,x'} \leq k\epsilon$$

Proof Idea of Advanced Composition:

- Observation 1: sometimes PLRV is positive, other times negative. They cancel with each other.
- $\bullet$  Observation 2: as k gets larger, the sum of PLRV concentrates around its mean. One can calculate their mean and bound the deviation from the mean.
- Observation 3: the adaptivity means that the PLRVwill depend on the past.

To prove advanced composition, we introduce Martingale and apply Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality.

**Definition 1.** Martingale: a sequence of r.v.  $S_1, \ldots, S_n, \ldots$  is a Martingale if for any n,

$$\mathbb{E}[|S_n|] < \infty,$$

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{n+1}|S_1, \dots, S_n] = S_n$$

**Lemma 4.** Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality: Assume  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are Martingale differences

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

then  $S_n$  can be bounded w.h.p:

$$P[S_n > \epsilon] \le \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$$

Let the martingale differences be

$$X_i = \epsilon_{\cdot | y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}}^{x, x'} - \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{\cdot | y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}}^{x, x'} | y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}].$$

and they are bounded by

$$-\epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{\cdot,y_1,\dots,y_{i-1}}^{x,x'}|y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}] \le X_i \le \epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{\cdot,y_1,\dots,y_{i-1}}^{x,x'}|y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}].$$

Fix x, x', apply Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality

$$P\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_{i}}^{x,x'} - \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_{i}}^{x,x'} \ge t\right] \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^{2}}{4k\epsilon^{2}}\right)$$

which shows that  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_k)$  satisfy  $(\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\delta})$ -DP with

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_i}^{x,x'}\right] + \epsilon \sqrt{2k \log(1/\tilde{\delta})}$$

$$\leq 2k\epsilon^2 + \epsilon \sqrt{2k \log(1/\tilde{\delta})}$$

Here we omit the condition  $(\cdot|y_1,\ldots,y_{i-1})$ . To prove the last inequality above, observe the expectation of PLRV is the KL divergence:

$$\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}_i}^{x,x'}] = \int p(y) \log \frac{p(y)}{q(y)} = D_{KL}(P||Q)$$

KL-divergence is always nonnegative, and satisfy Pinsker's inequality:

Lemma 5. Pinsker's inequality:

$$||P - Q||_1 \le \sqrt{2D_{KL}(P||Q)}$$

so it can be bounded by

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = D_{KL}(P||Q) + D_{KL}(Q||P) - D_{KL}(Q||P)$$

$$\leq \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx + \int q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx$$

$$= \int (p(x) - q(x)) \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||P - Q||_1$$

$$\leq \epsilon \sqrt{2D_{KL}(P||Q)}$$

which indicates that

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) \le 2\epsilon^2$$
.

There are improved bounds of the KL-divergence and tighter version of Advanced composition:

- Bound from Dwork and Roth book  $D_{KL}(P||Q) \le \epsilon(e^{\epsilon} 1)$ .
- Bound from Bun and Steinke:  $D_{KL}(P||Q) \leq \epsilon^2/2$ .
- Tight bound from Adam Smith (also in the proof of Bun and Steinke):  $D_{KL}(P||Q) \leq \epsilon \frac{e^{\epsilon}-1}{e^{\epsilon}+1} = \epsilon \tanh(\epsilon/2)$ .

## 6.3 Gaussian mechanism

Mechanism: given  $f: \mathbb{N}^{|x|} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , output  $f(x) + \mathcal{N}(0, \epsilon^2 I_d)$ .

Advantages:

- Gaussian noise is more concentrated than Laplace noise.
- L2 sensitivities are often lower than L1 sensitivities.

#### 6.3.1 PLRV of the Gaussian mechanism

Privacy Loss Random Variable of the Gaussian mechanism is Gaussian:

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}^{x,x'} \sim \mathcal{N}(\eta, 2\eta)$$

where  $\eta = D^2/2\sigma^2$ , D = ||f(x) - f(x')||.

Proof.

$$\log \frac{\exp(-\frac{\|f(x)-y\|^2}{2\sigma^2})}{\exp(-\frac{\|f'(x)-y\|^2}{2\sigma^2})} = \frac{-\|f(x)-y\|^2 + \|f(x')-y\|^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\|f(x)-f(x')\|^2 + 2(f(x')-f(x))^T (f(x)-y)) \sim \mathcal{N}(\eta, 2\eta).$$

#### 6.3.2 Privacy analysis

Recall Lemma 2, using the tail bound of Gaussian mechanism, one can prove Gaussian mechanism is DP:

**Lemma 6.** Gaussian tail bound: let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , we have

$$P(X-\mu \geq u) \leq \exp(-u^2/(2\sigma^2))$$

Combining the above two lemmas, one can find that the Gaussian mechanism with variance  $\sigma^2$  for a query with L2-sensitivity  $\Delta$  satisfies  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP with

$$\epsilon = \frac{\Delta^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\Delta^2}{\sigma} \sqrt{2\log(1/\delta)}$$

For  $0 < \epsilon, \delta \le 1$ , the mechanism observe  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP if we choose

$$\sigma = \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon} \sqrt{2 \log(1.25/\delta)}.$$

## 6.4 Concentrated Differential Privacy

#### 6.4.1 Centration inequalities

Markov's inequality: For any non-negative r.v.  $X: P(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}$ .

Chebychev's inequality: For any r.v. with variable  $\sigma^2$ ,  $P(|X-E[X]| \ge t\sigma) \le \frac{1}{t^2}$ . (proof: taking  $(X-E[X])^2$  as the r.v. and apply Markov's inequality.)

Generalizing Chebychev inequality:  $P(|X - E[X]| \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X - E[X]|^k]}{t^k}$ .

Chebychev's method: Define  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ . For any t > 0, we have that

$$P((X - \mu) \ge u) = P(\exp(t(X - \mu)) \ge \exp(tu)) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(t(X - \mu))]}{\exp(tu)}$$

which leads to Chebychev's bound:

$$P((X - \mu) \ge u) \le \inf_{0 \le t \le b} \exp(-t(u + \mu)) \mathbb{E}[\exp(tX)].$$

#### 6.4.2 Subgaussian random variables

We say a random variable with mean  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -subgaussian if

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(t(X-\mu))] \le \exp(\sigma^2 t^2/2)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that X is subgaussian if there exists constants  $\sigma$ . Gaussian random variables and bounded random variables are subgaussian. The tail of subgaussian random variables can be bounded by

$$P(X - \mu > u) \le \exp(-u^2/(2\sigma^2))$$

The proof uses Chernoff's method and set  $t = \frac{u}{\sigma^2}$ .

Claim 7. Average of n independent  $\sigma$ -subgaussian RVs is  $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  subgaussian.

*Proof.* Define  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ . Obvoulsy,  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu = \mu$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(t(\hat{\mu} - \mu))] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(t/n\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \mu))]$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[\exp(t(X_i - \mu)/n)]$$
$$\leq \exp(t^2\sigma^2/(2n))$$

This implies that

$$P(|\hat{\mu} - \mu| > k\sigma/\sqrt{n}) \le 2\exp(-k^2/2).$$

To handle mechanisms that are Gaussian-mechanism-like, one can prove that for any neighboring datasets, the PLRV is  $\sigma$ -subgaussian. Then the sum of k PLRVs is  $\sigma\sqrt{k}$ -subgaussian, which proves the composition.

### 6.4.3 Renyi Differential Privacy

The Moment Generating function of PLRV is

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim P}[\exp(t \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)})] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P}[(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)})^t]$$

$$= \int p(x)(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)})^t dx$$

$$= \int q(x)((\frac{p(x)}{q(x)})^{t+1}) dx$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q}((\frac{p(x)}{q(x)})^{t+1})$$

**Definition 2.** Renyi divergence: for  $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty)$ ,

$$D_{\alpha}(P||Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \int p^{\alpha} q^{1 - \alpha} du$$

Special cases:

- $\alpha = 1$ : KL divergence.
- $\alpha = \infty$ :  $D_{\infty}(P||Q) = \in (\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P} \frac{p}{q})$ .
- $\alpha = 2$ :  $\chi^2$  divergence.
- $\alpha = 1/2$ : Hellinger distance.

We say that a mechanism satisfies  $(\alpha, \epsilon)$ -Renyi DP, if

$$D_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(x)||\mathcal{M}(x')) \leq \epsilon.$$

We say a mechanism satisfies  $\rho$ -zCDP, if

$$D_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(x)||\mathcal{M}(x')) \le \rho\alpha, \forall \alpha > 1.$$

If a mechanism is  $\rho$ -zCDP, it's PLRV is  $O(\rho)$  subgaussian.

Properties:

- Adaptive composition: if  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is  $(\alpha, \epsilon_1)$ -Renyi DP,  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is  $(\alpha, \epsilon_2)$ -Renyi DP, then  $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ -Renyi DP.
- Conversion to approximate DP:  $(\alpha, \epsilon_1)$ -Renyi DP implies  $(\epsilon(\alpha) + \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\alpha 1}, \delta) DP$ .  $\rho$ -zCDP implies  $(\rho + 2\sqrt{\rho \log(1/\delta)}, \delta)$ -DP.
- Other properties: Postprocessing, risk multiplier, group privacy (see Mironov, 2017).

zCDP provides tighter composition:

- 1. pure-DP mechanism:  $\epsilon = \frac{k}{2}\epsilon^2 + \epsilon\sqrt{2\log(1/\delta)}$ .
- 2. Gaussian mechanism:  $\epsilon = \frac{k\Delta^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\Delta}{\sigma} \sqrt{2k \log(1/\delta)}$ .