

CHAPTER 12: CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMARS *

Peter Cappello
Department of Computer Science
University of California, Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
cappello@cs.ucsb.edu

- The corresponding textbook chapter should be read before attending this lecture.
- These notes are not intended to be complete. They are supplemented with figures, and other material that arises during the lecture period in response to questions.

*Based on **Theory of Computing**, 2nd Ed., D. Cohen, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

SYMBOLISM FOR GENERATIVE GRAMMARS

- The book chapter gives a good explanation of the background and reason for studying this material.
- A **generative grammar** is a grammar with which one can *generate* all the words (sentences) in a language.

DEFINITION A context-free grammar (CFG) is a collection of 3 things:

- An alphabet Σ of letters called *terminals*.
- A set of symbols called *nonterminals*, 1 of which is the symbol S, the “start” symbol.
- A finite set of *productions* of the form

$$\textit{Nonterminal} \rightarrow (\textit{terminals} + \textit{nonterminals})^*$$

At least 1 production has S as its left side.

By convention, we use:

- lower case letters and special symbols for terminals
- upper case letters for nonterminals.

DEFINITION The **language generated** by a CFG is the set of all strings of terminals produced from S using productions as substitutions.

A language generated by a CFG is a **context-free language** (CFL).

EXAMPLE

Production 1 $S \rightarrow aS$

Production 2 $S \rightarrow \Lambda$

Applying production 1 two times, followed by production 2, yields:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow aS \\ &\Rightarrow aaS \\ &\Rightarrow aa\Lambda \\ &= aa \end{aligned}$$

- The language generated by this CFG is a^* .
- \rightarrow means “can be replaced by”.
- \Rightarrow (used in a derivation) means “can develop into”.
- A derivation’s right hand side (RHS) is a **working string** when it contains nonterminals.

EXAMPLE

Define a CFG that accepts $(a + b)^*$.

$$S \rightarrow aS$$

$$S \rightarrow bS$$

$$S \rightarrow \Lambda$$

EXAMPLE

Define a CFG that accepts $(a + b)^*aa(a + b)^*$.

$$S \rightarrow XaaX$$

$$X \rightarrow aX$$

$$X \rightarrow bX$$

$$X \rightarrow \Lambda$$

Give a derivation of $ababaaaba$.

EXAMPLE

Define a CFG that accepts $\{a^n b^n\}$.

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \Lambda$$

Equivalently:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid \Lambda$$

- Give a derivation of $aaabbb$.
- Define a CFG that accepts palindromes over $\{a, b\}$. (It should include strings such as aba .)

TREES

Given the CFG

$$S \rightarrow AA$$

$$A \rightarrow AAA|bA|Ab|a$$

- Derive the word *bbaaaab*: $S \Rightarrow AA \Rightarrow bAA \Rightarrow bbAA \Rightarrow bbaA \Rightarrow bbaAAA \Rightarrow bbaaAA \Rightarrow bbaaaA \Rightarrow bbaaaAb \Rightarrow bbaaaab$
- Draw the tree corresponding to this derivation.
- Such a tree is called a [syntax tree](#) or [parse tree](#).

LUKASIEWICZ NOTATION

- Consider the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow + | * | n$$

$$+ \rightarrow + + | + * | + n | * + | * * | * n | n + | n * | n n$$

$$* \rightarrow + + | + * | + n | * + | * * | * n | n + | n * | n n$$

$$n \rightarrow 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9$$

- One possible derivation is $S \Rightarrow + \Rightarrow 3 * \Rightarrow 3 4 5$.
- Write the parse tree for this is on the board.
- From the parse tree construct the prefix notation by walking around the tree, writing down the symbols in the order in which they are first visited (excluding S): $+ 3 * 4 5$.

- Think of these items as having been pushed on a stack in the order of visitation.
- To evaluate the expression, when the top 2 items are numbers:
 1. pop the top 3 items
 2. evaluate that expression (e.g., $+ 35$ evaluates to 8)
 3. push the resulting value on the stack

Continue until the stack contains only 1 number.

- Do this for our string of $+ 3 * 4 5$.
- Do this for the following tree $((1 + 2) * (3 + 4)) + 5) * 6$. (Its value should be 156.)

AMBIGUITY

Definition: A CFG G is **ambiguous** if there exists a $w \in L(G)$ with 2 derivations that correspond to different parse trees.

If a CFG is not ambiguous it is **unambiguous**.

Example Let CFG G be $S \rightarrow aS|Sa|a$, the regular language aa^* .

The word aa has 2 derivations:

- $S \Rightarrow Sa \Rightarrow aa$
- $S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aa$

distinct with a distinct parse tree.

However a^+ can be defined by $S \rightarrow aS|a$, which is not ambiguous.

THE TOTAL LANGUAGE TREE

Definition: For a given CFG, define a tree:

- Its root is S
- For each nonterminal node in the tree,
For each nonterminal, N at that node, construct a child node in the tree for each production with N as the LHS.

Example Let CFG G be:

$$S \rightarrow aa|bX|aXX$$

$$X \rightarrow ab|b$$

- What is the total language tree for this CFG?
- What is the total language tree for $S \rightarrow \Lambda|aSb$?