291K Deep Learning for Machine Translation Pre-training for NMT

Lei Li UCSB 11/10/2021 11/15/2021



Outline

- Monolingual Sequence-to-sequence pre-training – MASS: Masked seq-to-seq pretraining – BART
- Multilingual fused pre-training
 - Cross-lingual Language Model Pre-training [NeurIPS, 2019]
 - Alternating Language Modeling Pre-training [AAAI, 2020]
 - XLM-T: Cross-lingual Transformer Encoders
- Multilingual sequence to sequence pre-training – mBART [TACL, 2020]
 - mRASP & mRASP2 [EMNLP, 2020] [ACL, 2021]
 - LaSS: Learning language-specific sub-network via pre-training & fine-tuning [ACL, 2021]



Sequence-to-sequence Pre-training



Sequence-to-sequence learning for MT







MASS: Pre-train for Sequence to Sequence Generation

 MASS is carefully designed to jointly pre-train the encoder and decoder



- Mask k consecutive tokens (segment)
 - encoder-decoder attention
 - Develop the decoder with the ability of language modeling

- Force the decoder to attend on the source representations, i.e.,







Length	Probability	Model
$k = 1$ $k \in [1, m]$	$\left \begin{array}{c}P(x^{u} x^{\setminus u};\theta)\\P(x^{u:v} x^{\setminus u:v};\theta)\end{array}\right.$	masked LM in BERT MASS

MASS: Pre-train for Sequence to Sequence Generation, [Song et al ICML 2019]

MASS vs. BERT/GPT

Length	Probability	Model
$k = m$ $k \in [1, m]$	$ \begin{vmatrix} P(x^{1:m} x^{\backslash 1:m};\theta) \\ P(x^{u:v} x^{\backslash u:v};\theta) \end{vmatrix} $	standard LM in GPT MASS



Unsupervised NMT



MASS: Pre-train for Sequence to Sequence Generation, [Song et al ICML 2019]



Low-resource NMT



MASS: Pre-train for Sequence to Sequence Generation, [Song et al ICML 2019]





- Advantages
 - Unified sequence-to-sequence pretraining which jointly pretrains encoder, decoder and cross attention
 - Achieves improvements on zero-shot / unsupervised NMT
- Limitions
 - No evidence on rich resource NMT
 - Pre-training objective inconsistent with NMT, e.g. monolingual v.s. multilingual



MASS: Pre-train for Sequence to Sequence Generation, [Song et al ICML 2019]





BART: Denoising Sequence-to-Sequence





Allows to apply any type of document corruption.



A schema comparison with BERT, GPT and BART.

BÇDE sformer architecture then optimizing a reconstruction





- Token masking: Random tokens are sampled and replaced with [MASK]
- Token deletion: Random tokens are deleted from the input.
- Text infilling: A number of span are sampled. Each span is replaced with [MASK]. O-length span corresponding the insertion of [MASK].
- Sentence permutation: Sentences are shuffled with random order.
- Document Rotation: A token is chosen uniformly at random, and the document is rotated so that it begins with that token.





- Token masking: Random tokens are sampled and replaced with [MASK]
- Token deletion: Random tokens are deleted from the input.
- Text infilling: A number of span are sampled. Each span is replaced with [MASK]. 0-length span corresponding the insertion of [MASK].
- Sentence permutation: Sentences are shuffled with random order. Document Rotation: A token is chosen uniformly at random, and the document is rotated so that it begins with that token.





- Token masking: Random tokens are sampled and replaced with [MASK] Token deletion: Random tokens are deleted from the input.
- Text infilling: A number of span are sampled. Each span is replaced with [MASK]. O-length span corresponding the insertion of [MASK].
- Sentence permutation: Sentences are shuffled with random order. Document Rotation: A token is chosen uniformly at random, and the document is rotated so that it begins with that token.





- Token masking: Random tokens are sampled and replaced with [MASK]
- Token deletion: Random tokens are deleted from the input.
- Text infilling: A number of span are sampled. Each span is replaced with [MASK]. O-length span corresponding the insertion of [MASK].
- Sentence permutation: Sentences are shuffled with random order. Document Rotation: A token is chosen uniformly at random, and the document is rotated so that it begins with that token.









- Token masking: Random tokens are sampled and replaced with [MASK]
- Token deletion: Random tokens are deleted from the input.
- Text infilling: A number of span are sampled. Each span is replaced with [MASK]. O-length span corresponding the insertion of [MASK].
- Sentence permutation: Sentences are shuffled with random order.
- Document Rotation: A token is chosen uniformly at random, and the document is rotated so that it begins with that token.





Fine-Tune on Neural Machine Translation



- The new encoder uses a separate vocabulary from the original BART mode
- First, freeze BART parameters and only update the randomly initialized source encoder. Then, jointly tuning with a few steps.



Replace BART's encoder embedding layer with a new randomly initialized encoder







Results on NMT

- Results on IWSLT 2016 En->Ro augmented with backtranslation data
- 6 layer of additional transformer encoder to encoding Romania representation.
- *MASS reports unsupervised results



Multilingual Fused Pretraining

Multi-lingual Pre-training for NMT

- Data scarcity for low/zero resource languages.
- <u>Transfer knowledge</u> between languages.



o resource languages. Veen languages.





Cross-lingual Language Model Pretraining

Learning cross-lingual representation





Cross-lingual Language Model Pre-training, [Conneau et al NeurIPS 2019]





Multiple masked language model (MLM)

Similar to BERT, but in many languages... Multilingual representations emerge from a single model trained on many languages



Multilingual Masked language modeling pretraining







Translation language model (TLM)

MLM is unsupervised, but TLM leverages parallel data... Encourage the model to learn cross-lingual context when predicting



Translation language modeling (TLM) pretraining





Results on Unsupervised Machine Translation

Initialization is key in unsupervised MT to bootstrap the iterative BT process



Full Transformer model initialization significantly improves performance (+7 BLEU)





Results on supervised machine translation

- Pre-training is important for translation
 - Pre-training both encoder and decoder improves
 - MLM is better than CLM
 - Back translation + Pretraining achieve the best





Ablation study

- Adding more languages improves performance on lowresource languages due to positive knowledge transfer
- other languages (capacity allocation problem)



 Sampling batches more often in some languages improves performance in these languages but decrease performance in

- Cross-lingual language model pre-training is very effective for NMT
- and supervised MT
- Encourage knowledge transfer across languages is promising



Pre-training reduces the gap between unsupervised



Alternating Language Modeling for Cross-Lingual Pre-Training



Sentence level mixing

- different languages

ALM extend TLM in a sentence, which alternately predicts words of

ALM can capture the rich cross-lingual context of words and phrases











Overview of ALM pre-training



- Dataset
 - Original parallel data to generate 20 times code-switched sentences
 - Separately obtain the alternating language sentences of source language and target language, which are 40 times than original data
 - Totally, 1.5 billion code-switched sentences are used for pre-training
- Model
 - Transformer big
 - Reload the parameters of ALT for both encoder and decoder. The cross-lingual attention parameters are randomly initialized.











$En \rightarrow De$

Transformer (Vaswani et al. 2017) ConvS2S (Gehring et al. 2017) Weighted Transformer (Ahmed, Keskar, and Socher 2017) Layer-wise Transformer (He et al. 2018) RNMT+ (Chen et al. 2018)

mBERT (Devlin et al. 2019) MASS (Song et al. 2019) XLM (Lample and Conneau 2019)

ALM (this work)

- mBERT: extends the BERT model to different languages
- the sentence.

Results

29.22	ALM (this work)	35.5
28.88	XLM (Lample and Conneau 2019)	35.2
28.92	MASS (Song et al. 2019)	35.14
28.64	mBERT (Devlin et al. 2019)	34.82
28.50	Layer-wise Transformer (He et al. 2018)	35.0
29.01	Advsoft (Wang, Gong, and Liu 2019)	35.1
28.90	DynamicConv (Wu et al. 2019)	35.2
25.16	LightConv (Wu et al. 2019)	34.8
28.40	Transformer (Vaswani et al. 2017)	34.4
BLEU(%)	$De \rightarrow En$	BLEU

• XLM: the most related work. The results are implemented with released code. Mass: set the fragment length k as 50% of the total number of masked tokens in











omly shuffle the full parallel training set in the task of IWSLT14 an-to-English translation dataset. Then, extract the random amples as the fine-tuned parallel data urprise, the improvements of ALM is larger for low resource

Alternating Language Modeling for Cross-Lingual Pre-Training [Yang et al AAAI 2020]

Results



Visualization of word (

Mixing Chinese words and English words can draw the distribution of source language and target language in a same space



Alternating Language Modeling for Cross-Lingual Pre-Training [Yang et al AAAI 2020]







- encoders
- Fine-tune the model on multilingual parallel data

XLM-T: Scaling up Multilingual Machine Translation with Pretrained Cross-lingual Transformer Encoders [Ma et al, 2020]

Initialize MT encoder and decoder with pre-trained cross-lingual





$X \rightarrow En$	Fr	Cs	De	Fi	Lv	Et	Ro	Hi	Tr	Gu	Avg
Train on Original Parallel Data (Bitext)											
Bilingual NMT	36.2	28.5	40.2	19.2	17.5	19.7	29.8	14.1	15.1	9.3	23.0
Many-to-One	34.8	29.0	40.1	21.2	20.4	26.2	34.8	22.8	23.8	19.2	27.2
XLM-T	35.9	30.5	41.6	22.5	21.4	28.4	36.6	24.6	25.6	20.4	28.8
Many-to-Many	35.9	29.2	40.0	21.1	20.4	26.3	35.5	23.6	24.3	20.6	27.7
XLM-T	35.5	30.0	40.8	22.1	21.5	27.8	36.5	25.3	25.0	20.6	28.5
Train on Original Pa	arallel I	Data an	d Back	x-Trans	lation	Data (E	Sitext+1	3 <i>T</i>)			
(Wang et al., 2020)	35.3	31.9	45.4	23.8	22.4	30.5	39.1	28.7	27.6	23.5	30.8
Many-to-One	35.9	32.6	44.1	24.9	23.1	31.5	39.7	28.2	27.8	23.1	31.1
XLM-T	36.0	33.1	44.8	25.4	23.9	32.7	39.8	30.1	28.8	23.6	31.8
(Wang et al., 2020)	35.3	31.2	43.7	23.1	21.5	29.5	38.1	27.5	26.2	23.4	30.0
Many-to-Many	35.7	31.9	43.7	24.2	23.2	30.4	39.1	28.3	27.4	23.8	30.8
XLM-T	36.1	32.6	44.3	25.4	23.8	32.0	40.3	29.5	28.7	24.2	31.7

- are worse on the high-resource languages
- In the back-translation setting, XLM-T can further improve this strong baseline

• The multilingual models achieve much better performance on the low-resource languages and

• XLM-T achieves significant improvements over the multilingual baseline across all 10 languages







$X \rightarrow En$	Fr	Cs	De	Fi	Lv	Et	Ro	Hi	Tr	Gu	Avg
Train on Original Parallel Data (Bitext)											
Bilingual NMT	36.2	28.5	40.2	19.2	17.5	19.7	29.8	14.1	15.1	9.3	23.0
Many-to-One XLM-T	34.8 35.9	29.0 30.5	40.1 41.6	21.2 22.5	20.4 21.4	26.2 28.4	34.8 36.6	22.8 24.6	23.8 25.6	19.2 20.4	27.2 28.8
Many-to-Many XLM-T	35.9 35.5	29.2 30.0	40.0 40.8	21.1 22.1	20.4 21.5	26.3 27.8	35.5 36.5	23.6 25.3	24.3 25.0	20.6 20.6	27.7 28.5
Train on Original Pa	ırallel I	Data an	d Back	-Trans	lation I	Data (E	Sitext+1	BT)			
(Wang et al., 2020) Many-to-One	35.3 35.9	31.9 32.6	45.4 44.1	23.8 24.9	22.4 23.1	30.5 31.5	39.1 39.7	28.7 28.2	27.6 27.8	23.5 23.1	30.8 31.1
XLM-1	36.0	33.1	44.8	25.4	23.9	32.7	39.8	30.1	28.8	23.6	31.8
(Wang et al., 2020) Many-to-Many	35.3 35.7	31.2 31.9	43.7 43.7	23.1 24.2	21.5 23.2	29.5 30.4	38.1 39.1	27.5 28.3	26.2 27.4	23.4 23.8	30.0 30.8
XLM-T	36.1	32.6	44.3	25.4	23.8	32.0	40.3	29.5	28.7	24.2	31.7

- are worse on the high-resource languages
- In the back-translation setting, XLM-T can further improve this strong baseline

• The multilingual models achieve much better performance on the low-resource languages and

• XLM-T achieves significant improvements over the multilingual baseline across all 10 languages







$En \rightarrow X$	Fr	Cs	De	Fi	Lv	Et	Ro	Hi	Tr	Gu	Avg	
Train on Original Parallel Data (Bitext)												
Bilingual NMT	36.3	22.3	40.2	15.2	16.5	15.0	23.0	12.2	13.3	7.9	20.2	
One-to-Many XLM-T	34.2	20.9 21.4	40.0	15.0 15.4	18.1 18 7	20.9 20.9	26.0 26.6	14.5 15 8	17.3 17.4	13.2 15.0	22.0 22.6	
Many-to-Many	34.2	21.0	39.4	15.2	18.6	20.4	26.1	15.0	17.2	13.1	22.0	
XLM-T	34.2	21.4	39.7	15.3	18.9	20.6	26.5	15.6	17.5	14.5	22.4	
Train on Original Pa	arallel I	Data an	nd Back	z-Trans	lation]	Data (E	Sitext+1	3 <i>T</i>)				
(Wang et al., 2020)	36.1	23.6	42.0	17.7	22.4	24.0	29.8	19.8	19.4	17.8	25.3	
One-to-Many	36.8	23.6	42.9	18.3	23.3	24.2	29.5	20.2	19.4	13.2	25.1	
XLM-T	37.3	24.2	43.6	18.1	23.7	24.2	29.7	20.1	20.2	13.7	25.5	
(Wang et al., 2020)	35.8	22.4	41.2	16.9	21.7	23.2	29.7	19.2	18.7	16.0	24.5	
Many-to-Many	35.9	22.9	42.2	17.5	22.5	23.4	28.9	19.8	19.1	14.5	24.7	
XLM-T	36.6	23.9	42.4	18.4	22.9	24.2	29.3	20.1	19.8	12.8	25.0	

- Generally, the improvements are smaller than $X \rightarrow En$
- an expert in.

• The multilingual part of En \rightarrow X is at the decoder side, which XLM-R is not






PART 3: Multilingual Pre-training for NMT

- Multilingual fused pre-training

 - Alternating Language Modeling Pre-training [AAAI, 2020]
 - XLM-T: Cross-lingual Transformer Encoders
- Multilingual sequence to sequence pre-training
 - mBART [TACL, 2020]
 - CSP [EMNLP, 2020]
 - mRASP & mRASP2 [EMNLP, 2020] [ACL, 2021]
 - LaSS: Learning language-specific sub-network via pre-training & fine-tuning [ACL, 2021]

- Cross-lingual Language Model Pre-training [NeurlPS, 2019]









mBART: Multilingual Denoising Pre-training for Neural Machine Translation



Multilingual Denoising Pre-Training (mBART)

- Multilingual denoising pre-training (25 languages) Sentence permutation
 - -Word-span masking
- Fine-tuning on MT with special language id

Multilingual Denoising Pre-training for Neural Machine Translation [Liu et al., TACL 2020]

Fine-tuning on Machine Translation



Dataset

- Data: CC25 corpus
 - CC25 includes 25 languages from different families and with varied amounts of text from Common Crawl (CC)
 - Rebalanced the corpus by up/downsampling text

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{p_i} \cdot \frac{p_i^{\alpha}}{\sum_i p_i^{\alpha}},$$

- Sentence Piece which includes 25,000 subwords
- Noisy function follows BART

Code	Language	Tokens/M	Size/GB
En	English	55608	300.8
Ru	Russian	23408	278.0
Vi	Vietnamese	24757	137.3
Ja	Japanese	530 (*)	69.3
De	German	10297	66.6
Ro	Romanian	10354	61.4
Fr	French	9780	56.8
Fi	Finnish	6730	54.3
Ko	Korean	5644	54.2
Es	Spanish	9374	53.3
Zh	Chinese (Sim)	259 (*)	46.9
It	Italian	4983	30.2
NI	Dutch	5025	29.3
Ar	Arabic	2869	28.0
Tr	Turkish	2736	20.9
Hi	Hindi	1715	20.2
Cs	Czech	2498	16.3
Lt	Lithuanian	1835	13.7
Lv	Latvian	1198	8.8
Kk	Kazakh	476	6.4
Et	Estonian	843	6.1
Ne	Nepali	237	3.8
Si	Sinhala	243	3.6
Gu	Gujarati	140	1.9
My	Burmese	56	1.6



mBART: Low-medium translation results

Languages Data Source	ges En-Gu rce WMT19		En- WM	En-Kk WMT19		En-Vi IWSLT15		En-Tr WMT17		-Ja LT17	En-Ko IWSLT17	
Size	10)K	91	K	133K		207K		22	3K	230K	
Direction	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow
Random	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	23.6	24.8	12.2	9.5	10.4	12.3	15.3	16.3
mBART25	0.3	0.1	7.4	2.5	36.1	35.4	22.5	17.8	19.1	19.4	24.6	22.6
Languages	En	-NI	En-Ar		En-It		En-Mv		En-Ne		En-Ro	
Data Source	e IWSLT17		IWSLT17		IWSLT17		WAT19		FLoRes		WMT16	
Size	23	7K	250K		250K		259K		564K		608K	
Direction	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow
Random	34.6	29.3	27.5	16.9	31.7	28.0	23.3	34.9	7.6	4.3	34.0	34.3
mBART25	43.3	34.8	37.6	21.6	39.8	34.0	28.3	36.9	14.5	7.4	37.8	37.7
Languages	En	-Si	En	-Hi	En	-Et	En	-Lt	En	-Fi	En	-Lv
Data Source	FLo	Res	IT	ТВ	WM	[T18]	WM	[T19	WM	[T17	WM	[T17]
Size	64	7K	1.5	6M	1.9	4M	2.1	1 M	2.6	6M	4.5	0M
Direction	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow
Random	7.2	1.2	10.9	14.2	22.6	17.9	18.1	12.1	21.8	20.2	15.6	12.9
mBART25	13.7	3.3	23.5	20.8	27.8	21.4	22.4	15.3	28.5	22.4	19.3	15.9

Low resource: more than 6 BLEU. But fails in extremely low-resource setting



mBART: Low-medium translation results

Languages Data Source	En-Gu WMT19		En-Kk WMT19		En-Vi IWSLT15		En-Tr WMT17		En-Ja IWSLT17		En-Ko IWSLT17		
Size	10)K	91	K	13	133K		207K		223K		230K	
Direction	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	
Random	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	23.6	24.8	12.2	9.5	10.4	12.3	15.3	16.3	
mBART25	0.3	0.1	7.4	2.5	36.1	35.4	22.5	17.8	19.1	19.4	24.6	22.6	
Languages	En	-Nl	En	-Ar	En	-It	En-	My	En-Ne		En-Ro		
Data Source	IWS	LT17	IWS	LT17	IWS	IWSLT17 WAT19		T19	FLoRes		WMT16		
Size	23	7K	25	0K	25	0K	25	9K	56	4K	60	8K	
Direction	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	
Random	34.6	29.3	27.5	16.9	31.7	28.0	23.3	34.9	7.6	4.3	34.0	34.3	
mBART25	43.3	34.8	37.6	21.6	39.8	34.0	28.3	36.9	14.5	7.4	37.8	37.7	
Languages	En	-Si	En	-Hi	En	-Et	En	-Lt	En	-Fi	En	-Lv	
Data Source	FLo	Res	IT	ТВ	WM	[T18]	WM	[T19	WM	[T17	WM	[T17	
Size	64	7K	1.5	6M	1.9	4M	2.1	1 M	2.6	6M	4.5	0M	
Direction	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	
Random	7.2	1.2	10.9	14.2	22.6	17.9	18.1	12.1	21.8	20.2	15.6	12.9	
mBART25	13.7	3.3	23.5	20.8	27.8	21.4	22.4	15.3	28.5	22.4	19.3	15.9	

Low resource: more than 6 BLEU. But fails in extremely low-resource setting

Medium resource: more than 3 BLEU







mBART: Rich-resource translation

Languages	Cs	Es	Zh	De	Ru	Fr
Size	11M	15M	25M	28M	29M	41M
Random	16.5	33.2	35.0	30.9	31.5 31.3	41.4
mBART25	18.0	34.0	33.3	30.5		41.0

- available.
- supposed to wash out the pre-trained weights completely.

Pre-training slightly hurts performance when >25M parallel sentence are

• When a significant amount of bi-text data is given, supervised training are





mBART: Pre-training complementary to BT



- Test on low resource FLoRes dataset [Guzmán et al., 2019]
- Use the same monolingual data to generate BT data
- Initializing the model with mBART25 pre-trained parameters improves BLEU scores at each iteration of back translation, resulting in new state-of-the-art results in all four translation directions





Is pre-training on multilingual better than on single language?

Pre-traini	ng	Fi	ne-tuning	
Model	Data	En→Ro	Ro → En	+BT
Random	None	34.3	34.0	36.8
XLM (2019)	En Ro	_	35.6	38.5
MASS (2019)	En Ro	_	-	39.1
BART (2019)	En	_	-	38.0
XLM-R (2019)	CC100	35.6	35.8	-
BART-En	En	36.0	35.8	37.4
BART-Ro	Ro	37.6	36.8	38.1
mBART02	En Ro	38.5	38.5	39.9
mBART25	CC25	37.7	37.8	38.8

- BART model trained on the same En and Ro data only. Both have improvements over essential.
- mBART02 is better than mBART25. The more seems not the better?

baselines, while worse than mBART results, indicating pre-training in a multilingual setting is

Combining BT leads to additional gains, resulting in a new state-of-the-art for Ro-En translation



How many languages should you pre-train on?

Languages	De	Ro	It	My	
Size/GB	66.6	61.4	30.2	1.6	3
mBART02	31.3	38.5	39.7	36.5	
mBART06	-	38.5	39.3	-	
mBART25	30.5	37.7	39.8	36.9	

- Pretraining on more languages helps most when the target language monolingual data is limited
- When monolingual data is plentiful (De, Ro), pre-training on multiple languages slightly hurts the final results (<1 BLEU)





Analysis: Pre-training steps matters



- Without any pre-training, the model overfits and performs much worse than the baseline
- After just 25K steps (5% of training), both models outperform the best baseline.
- The models keep improving by over 3 BLEU fo 500K steps.
- The more the better

d performs much worse than the baseline els outperform the best baseline.

• The models keep improving by over 3 BLEU for the rest of steps and have not fully converged after



Analysis: Perform better on low resource



- The pre-trained model is able to achieve over 20 BLEU with only 10K training examples, while the baseline system scores 0.

 Unsurprisingly, mBART consistently outperforms the baseline models, but the gap reduces with increasing amounts of bi-text, especially after 10M sentence pairs







Analysis: Generalization to unseen languages

	Monolingual	Nl-En	En-Nl	Ar-En	En-Ar	Nl-De	De-Nl
Random	None	34.6 (-8.7)	29.3 (-5.5)	27.5 (-10.1)	16.9 (-4.7)	21.3 (-6.4)	20.9 (-5.2)
mBART02 mBART06	En Ro En Ro Cs It Fr Es	41.4 (-2.9) 43 1 (-0.2)	34.5 (-0.3) 34.6 (-0.2)	34.9 (-2.7)	21.2 (-0.4) 21.1 (-0.5)	26.1 (-1.6) 26.4 (-1.3)	25.4 (-0.7)
mBART25	All	43.3	34.8	37.6	21.6	27.7	26.1

NI-De and Ar are not included in the pre-training corpus

- appear in the pre-training corpora,
- Pre-training has language universal aspects, especially within the parameters learned at the Transformer layers.
- The more pre-trained languages the better

• mBART can improve performance even with fine tuning for languages that did not



Unsupervised Machine Translation



UNMT with back translation

- with the pre-trained mBART
- tokens in target language
- Achieve very competitive results

	Similar Pai				Dis	ar Pai	Pairs	
Model	En-De		En-Ro		En-Ne		En-Si	
	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	\rightarrow
Random	21.0	17.2	19.4	21.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
XLM (2019)	34.3	26.4	31.8	33.3	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
MASS (2019)	35.2	28.3	33.1	35.2	-	-	-	-
mBART	34.0	29.8	30.5	35.0	10.0	4.4	8.2	3.9

• Following the same procedure with UNMT, but initialize the translation model

To avoid simply copying the source text, constrain mBART to only generating



mRASP: multilingual Random Aligned Substitution Pre-training

- mRASP: multilingual Random Aligned Substitution **Pre-training**
 - Multilingual Pre-training Approach RAS: specially designed training method to align
 - semantic embeddings



Pre-training Multilingual Neural Machine Translation by Leveraging Alignment Information [Lin et al., EMNLP 2020]



mRASP: Overview



Pre-training Multilingual Neural Machine Translation by Leveraging Alignment Information [Lin et al., EMNLP 2020]



mRASP: Overview



Pre-training Multilingual Neural Machine Translation by Leveraging Alignment Information [Lin et al., EMNLP 2020]





Random Aligned Substitution (RAS)

- Randomly replace a source word to its synonym in different language.
- Draw the embedding space closer.



Pre-training Multilingual Neural Machine Translation by Leveraging Alignment Information [Lin et al., EMNLP 2020]

mRASP: RAS method



Training Data for mRASP Pre-training Dataset: PC32 (Parallel Corpus 32)

- - 32 English-centric language pairs, resulting in 64 directed translation pairs in total



- Contains a total size of 110.4M public parallel sentence pairs # of En-X sentence pairs



54

mRASP: Fine-tuning Dataset

- Fine-tuning Dataset
- Indigenous Corpus: included in pre-training phase Extremely low resource (<100K) (Be, My, etc.) Low resource(>100k and <1M) (He, Tr, etc.) Medium resource (>1M and <10M) (De, Et, etc.) Rich resource (>10M) (Zh, Fr, etc.)



mRASP: Rich resource works





mRASP: Low resource works



Low Resource Directions





mRASP: Unseen languages

• mRASP generalizes on all exotic scenarios.

		Fr-Zh	(20K)	De-Fi	De-Fr(9M)		
		->	<	->	<		
Evotic Doir	Direct	0.7	3	23.5	21.2		
	mRASP	25.8	26.7	29.9	23.4		
		NI-Pt	(12K)	Da-El(1.2M)		
		->	<	->	<—		
Evotic Full	Direct	0.0	0.0	14.1	16.9		
	mRASP	14.1	13.2	17.6	19.9		
		En-IVI	r(11K)	En-Gl	(1.2M)		
		->	<	->	<		
	Direct	6.4	6.8	8.9	12.8		
	mRASP	22.7	22.9	32.1	38.1		
Exotic Source /		En-Eu	(726k)	En-Sl	(2M)		
Target		->	<—	->	<		
	Direct	7.1	10.9	24.2	28.2		
	mRASP	19.1	28.4	27.6	29.5		
Direct not	work	vs mf	RASP a	chieves	s 10+ B		

Pre-training Multilingual Neural Machine Translation by Leveraging Alignment Information [Lin et al., EMNLP 2020]



mRASP: Compare with other methods

mRASP outperforms mBART for all but two language pairs.





mRASP: Makes multilingual embeddings more similar



RAS draws the embedding space of languages closer.

Pre-training Multilingual Neural Machine Translation by Leveraging Alignment Information [Lin et al., EMNLP 2020]

mRASP w/o RAS









mRASP 2: Contrastive Learning for Many-to-many Multilingual Neural Machine **Translation**





Leveraging both parallel & monolingual data

Contrastive Learning for Many-to-many Multilingual Neural Machine Translation [Pan et al., ACL 2021]



mRASP2 introduces monolingual data

• Parallel text





Contrastive Learning for Many-to-many Multilingual Neural Machine Translation [Pan et al., ACL 2021]





mRASP2 maps different languages in a same space



Contrastive Learning for Many-to-many Multilingual Neural Machine Translation [Pan et al., ACL 2021]









Monolingual Corpus mainly contributes to unsupervised translation

Experiments



Better Semantic Alignment: Sentence Retrieval



Averaged Retrieval acc

15-way parallel test set(Ted-M): 2284 samples

Contrastive Learning and Aligned Augmentation both contribute to the improvement on sentence retrieval





Learning Language Specific Sub-network for Multilingual Machine Translation

- - Each language pair has shared parameters with some other language pairs and preserves its language-specific parameters
 - For fine-tuning, only updates the corresponding parameters



LaSS accommodates one sub-network for each language pair.









LaSS obtains consistent gains for both Transformer-base and Transformer-big

Learning Language Specific Sub-network for Multilingual Machine Translation [Lin et al., ACL 2021]







With the dataset scale increasing, the improvement becomes larger, since rich resource language pairs suffer more from parameter interference





Adaptation to New Language Pairs Distribute a new sub-network for new language pair

and train the sub-network for fixed steps

30 D B T E O 20

10

750 1000 250 500 ()**Steps** Learning Language Specific Sub-network for Multilingual Machine Translation [Lin et al., ACL 2021]





Adaptation to New Language Pairs Distribute a new sub-network for new language pair

and train the sub-network for fixed steps

LaSS reaches the bilingual model performance with fewer steps.

10

BLE





Adaptation to New Language Pairs

 Distribute a new sub-network for new language pair and train the sub-network for fixed steps

LaSS hardly drops on existing language pairs

10



Adaptation to New Language Pairs

 Distribute a new sub-network for new language pair and train the sub-network for fixed steps

easy adaptation is attributed to the language specific sub-network

Only updates the corresponding parameters avoids catastrophic forgetting

30 Dala BLEU SU










The top deals with output projection layer and the bottom is related to embedding layer, which are both language-specific.







Mask similarity is positively correlated to language family



Similar languages tends to group together for both $En \rightarrow X$ and $X \rightarrow En$

Learning Language Specific Sub-network for Multilingual Machine Translation [Lin et al., ACL 2021]





Summary for Multilingual Pre-training

- Multilingual fused pre-training
 - Training encoder on masked sequences composed of multiple language, concatenated or mixed words.
- Multilingual sequence-to-sequence pre-training
 - mBart: Recover original sentence from noised ones in multiple languages.
 - mRASP & mRASP2: augmenting data with randomly substitute of words from bilingual lexicon + monolingual reconstruction + contrastive learning
 - LaSS: use pre-training and fine-tuning to discover languagecommon sub-nets and language-specific sub-nets for MT



75



- Song et al. MASS: Pre-train for Sequence to Sequence Generation, 2019.
- Lewis et al. BART: Denoising Sequence-to-Sequence Pre-training for Natural Language Generation, Translation, and Comprehension, 2020

Reading

