# More class design with C++

Starting Savitch Chap. 11

#### Member or non-member function?

- Class operations are typically implemented as member functions
  - Declared inside class definition
  - Can directly access private members
  - Usually the task involves only one object (this)
- But some operations are more appropriate as ordinary (nonmember) functions
  - Declared outside any class definition
  - Usually the task involves more than one object
  - Cannot access private members of a class though
    - Unless they are friends of the class

### Implementing an ordinary function

- Consider an equality function for DayOfYear

   Comparing two objects, so a non-member function
   bool equal(DayOfYear date1, DayOfYear date2) {
   return date1.get\_month() == date2.get\_month()
   && date1.get\_day() == date2.get\_day();
- Why is function equal not very efficient?
  - Each call to a public accessor function requires
     "overhead" costs to manage new stack frames
  - Accessing date1.month is simpler, more efficient
    - But it is also illegal! Unless ...

## friends

- Can be a function or (rarely) a whole other class
- Not class members, but can access private members of a class that has declared it as a friend
- Declared inside class by keyword friend class DayOfYear { public: friend bool equal(DayOfYear date1, DayOfYear date2);
- Implement without DayOfYear::
  - Okay to use private members of DayOfYear though

# A Money class with a friend

```
class Money {
public:
    friend Money add (Money, Money);
    ...
private:
    long cents;
};
Money add (Money amt1, Money amt2) {
    Money temp;
    temp.cents = amt1.cents + amt2.cents;
    return temp;
}
```

• Why is this still inefficient? How to improve it?

## Parameter passing efficiency

The add function uses "call-by-value" parameters – *Copies* of objects are created and then later destroyed
Using "call-by-reference" parameters is more efficient – no copies (at that stage anyway): friend Money add (Money &, Money &);

Money add (Money &amt1, Money &amt2) {...}

 But a new problem now: can't pass it constant objects – even though it doesn't change them

#### const

# • Part of an object's type in C++

// must initialize on creation; can never change afterwards
someFunction(x);

// error if parameter is int& without const

 Good classes support constant objects: "SCO" friend Money add (const Money &, const Money &);
 Money add(const Money &amt1, const Money &amt2) {...}

• But what about amt1.getCents() inside add?

- Answer: won't compile! Unless getCents() is const too: long getCents() const;

long Money::getCents const { return cents; }

# **Operator function overloading**

- Example: ADT operator+(const ADT &, const ADT &);
  - Overloads + to return an ADT object (hopefully the sum of the two ADT arguments – best to not change operator's meaning)
- Can overload almost any C++ operator
  - At least one argument must be a user-defined type
  - Precedence, "narity", and associativity rules apply as usual
    - e.g., + has usual precedence, is binary or unary, l-r
    - e.g., = has lower precedence, is binary only, r-l
  - See other rules on page 629 of the Savitch text
- But "just because you can does not mean you should"
  - e.g., a bad idea to overload, or && or | even if legal
  - And should always maintain the expected operator behavior

# **Operator functions for Money**

Replace add function with operator +
 friend Money operator+
 (const Money &, const Money &);
 ...
 Money operator+(const Money &amt1, const
 Money &amt2){/\* same implementation as add \*/}
 Replace equal function with operator ==
 friend bool operator== (const Money &,
 const Money &);
 ...
 bool operator== (const Money &amt1,
 const Money &amt2) {
 return amt1.cents == amt2.cents;
 }

#### 2 ways to use operator functions

Money a(100), b(50); // two Money objects

• Can add/compare by functional notation: Money sum1 = operator+(a, b);

if ( operator==(a, b) ) ... // false in this case

• But now can use infix notation too:

Money sum2 = a + b;

if ( sum1 == sum2 ) ... // true in this case

• By the way: C++ will try to convert any function argument to match the parameter type

if ( sum1 == 150 ) ... // still true! See next slide.

# Implicit type conversion in C++

- Converting ctors e.g., Money(long dollars);
  - Any ctor that takes exactly one argument
  - Invoked whenever an argument of that type is passed to a function that expects an object
    - In the case on previous slide 150 converted to Money(150)
- Operator conversion functions inverse idea
  - Specify types to which an object may be converted
  - Say class Money has operator double() const;
    - Means a Money object can be implicitly converted to double in certain circumstances, like cout << sum1;</li>
  - Better to overload << instead for this purpose though</li>

### Member vs. non-member ops

- Recall that some functions are more naturally defined as class members
  - Specifically, any function that needs a this pointer:
    - e.g., ++, +=, ... all need to change the object
  - And there are four operators that can only be overloaded as class members: =, (), [], and ->
- Sometimes non-member functions better though
  - e.g., binary functions, where the order of the arguments doesn't matter:
    - e.g., ==, <, ..., and binary forms of +, -, \*, /, %
  - Also when must access other types like << and >> that require access to ostream and istream (cout, cin)

# Overloading << and >>

- Want to do: cout << cost << endl;
   <ul>
   Need: friend ostream& operator<</li>
   (ostream& outs, const Money& amount);
   ostream& operator<<( ostream& outs, const Money& amount) {</li>
   // print to outs (e.g., outs << amount.cents;) return outs; // must return the ostream reference }</li>

   Want to do: cin >> price >> tax;
  - Need: friend istream& operator>> (istream& ins, Money& amount);

#### About member operator functions

#### • First argument is this – but it's hidden

- Always the left argument of binary operations
- So there can be no implicit conversion of left argument must be object of the correct type
- Is the only argument of unary operations
- Often return \*this to allow operation chaining
  - e.g., imagine a Money += (compound assignment op)
    Money& operator+= (const Money &right);

Money& Money::operator+= (Money const &right) {
 return \*this = \*this + right;

} // assuming operator= and operator+ are both already defined

- Note: two versions of operator++ and operator--
- And usually want two versions of operator[]

## Three free member operators

- By default, for any class C (even class C {};), the compiler supplies three member operators
- An assignment operator

C& operator=(const C &);

- Like a free copy ctor ... makes a shallow copy
- So often necessary to redefine it to make a deep copy
- And two different address-of operators
  - One for mutable objects:
     C\* operator&();
  - And one for constant objects:
    - const C\* operator&() const;
  - No good reason to redefine either of these functions!

# Classes with dynamic memory

- Must properly manage to avoid memory leaks
  - C++ does not have an automatic garbage collector so C++ programmers are responsible for returning memory to the free store
- Example class from text (<u>Display 11.11</u>): StringVar .... private:
  - char \*value; // pointer to dynamic array of characters
  - int max\_length; //declared max length of array
  - Point is to hold/manage a C-string of any length

Second Exam Friday, May 3