Third Exam Monday, May 20

Simpler polymorphism demo (~mikec/cs32/demos/figures)

- Base: Figure has virtual void print() - print() is used in printAt(lines)
- Derived: Rectangle just overrides print()
- What if print() was not declared virtual?
- What if line 2 above just had ref, not &ref?
 To know why, see "slicing" ... a few slides from now

"Pure virtual" and abstract classes

- Actually class Figure's print() function is useless - It should have been a pure virtual function:
 - virtual void draw() const = 0;
 - Says not defined in this class means any derived class must define its own version, or be abstract itself
- A class with one or more pure virtual functions is an abstract class so *it can only be a base class*
 - An actual instance would be an incomplete object
 - So any instance must be a derived class instance

Types when inheritance is involved

- Consider: void func (Sale &x) {...} or similarly: void func (Sale *xp) {...}
 What type of object is x (or *xp), really? Is it a Sale?
 - Or is it a DiscountSale, or even a CrazyDiscountSale?
- Just Sale members are available

 But might be virtual, and Sale might even be abstract
 & and * variables allow polymorphism to occur
- Contrast: void func (Sale y) {...}
 What type of object is y? It's a Sale. Period.
 Derived parts are "sliced" off by Sale's copy ctor
 - Also in this case, Sale cannot be an abstract class



Destructors should be virtual

- Especially if class has virtual functions
- Derived classes might allocate resources via a base class reference or pointer:
 Base *ptrBase = new Derived;
 ... // a redefined function allocates resources delete ptrBase;
- If dtor not virtual, derived dtor is not run!
- If dtor is virtual okay: run derived dtor, immediately followed by base dtor

Casting and inherited types

- Consider again: Dog d; Pet p;
- "Upcasting" (descendent to ancestor) is legal:
 p = d; // implicitly casting "up"
 p = static_cast<Pet>(d); // like (Pet)d
 But objects sliced if not pointer or reference
- Due of the static of reference of reference of the operation of the static of the stati

Multiple inheritance and virtual

- Idea: a ClockRadio is a Radio and an AlarmClock

 But what if class Radio and class AlarmClock are both derived from another class, say Appliance?
 - Doesn't each derived object contain an Appliance portion?
 So wouldn't a Clockradio have two copies of that portion, and how can such a scheme possibly work properly?
- how can such a scheme possibly work properly? Answer: it can work, but only by using *virtual* inheritance! class Radio : virtual nublic Appliance:
- class Radio : virtual public Appliance; class AlarmClock : virtual public Appliance; class ClockRadio : public Radio, public AlarmClock;
- Now a Clockradio has just one Appliance portion, not two
- See demo code in ~mikec/cs32/demos/multi-inherit
- But note: hierarchy is still messed up, and still lots of chances for ambiguity best to avoid multi-inheritance!

How do virtual functions work?

- Not exactly magic, but safe to consider it so
- virtual tells compiler to "wait for instructions" until the function is used in a program
- So the compiler creates a virtual function table for the class, with pointers to all virtual functions
- In turn, every *object* of such a class will be made to store a pointer to its own class's virtual function table - try .../demos/<u>sizeofvirtual.cpp</u>
- At runtime: follow the pointers to find the code!







A sample C program – demo.c

#include <stdio.h>

int a[10]={0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9};
int b[10];

void main(){
 int i;
 static int k = 3;

for(i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
 printf("%d\n",a[i]);
 b[i] = k*a[i];
}</pre>

} '

- Has text section of course: the machine code
 Has initialized
- global data: a

 Uninitialized
- global data: bStatic data: k
- Has a local variable: i

Operating the sector sector (12 bytes)
(12 bytes)Operating the sector sector (12 bytes)Operating the sector (12 bytes)<td