

Implementing generic types

With C++ templates

Starting Savitch Chapter 17

C++ templates

- Like “blueprints” for the compiler to use in creating class and function definitions
- Always involve one or more *parameterized types*
 - e.g., **function template** to compare object sizes:

```
template <typename T1, typename T2>
int sizeComp(T1 const &o1, T2 const &o2)
{ return (sizeof o1 - sizeof o2); }
```
 - e.g., **class template** for a list that holds any type:

```
template <typename DataType>
class List { /* here refer to DataType objects */ };
```
- Can use either keyword `typename` or `class` in a “template prefix” – e.g., `template <class T>`

Function templates

- An alternative to function overloading
 - But code for concrete types created only as needed
 - And the programmer does not have to write it!
 - Compiler deduces types if user doesn't specify:

```
int x = sizeComp('a', 7);
```

```
    // compiler uses template to create sizeComp(char, int)
```

- To specify: `x = sizeComp<int, int>('a', 7.5);`
 - // compiler uses template to create `sizeComp(int, int)`

- Better choice than macros too
 - Strictly type-checked, and no nasty side effects
- See `~mikec/cs32/demos/templates/`[greater.cpp](#)

More function template issues

- Template definition must be in header file – so compiler can know how to define the functions
 - i.e., cannot be defined in a separate `.cpp` file
- Sometimes *specialized* for particular types
 - Tells compiler to use specialized version instead of creating a new definition – e.g. `greater` for `char*`:

```
template <> // <> does not show a type parameter
char * &greater<char *>(char *s, char *t)
{ /* would use strcmp to compare s and t, instead of operator< */ }
```
 - Empty parameter types – exact types everywhere else
 - No type conversions though (must be exact match), so usually better to just overload instead of specialize

Defining class templates

- Idea: “generalize” data that can be managed by a class

```
template<typename T>
class Pair {
public:
    Pair();
    Pair(T firstVal, T secondVal);
    void setFirst(T newVal);
    void setSecond(T newVal);
    T getFirst() const;
    T getSecond() const;
private:
    T first; T second;
};
```

Class template member functions

- All methods need template prefix – e.g., constructor:

```
template<class T>
Pair<T>::Pair(T val1, T val2)
    : first(val1), second(val2) { }
```

- Similarly setter and getter functions:

```
template<class T>
void Pair<T>::setFirst(T newVal)
{   first = newVal;   }
template<class T>
T Pair<T>::getFirst() const { return first; }
```

- See [~mikec/cs32/demos/templates/complex](https://github.com/mikec/cs32/demos/templates/complex) example

Note: each function definition is itself a template

More class template notes

- Mostly design just like any class
 - Can have friends – usually do
 - Can be a base class or a derived class
- Careful though: `MyTemplate<T1> ≠ MyTemplate<T2>`
 - That is, there is no inheritance or any other kind of formal relationship between the two classes
 - e.g., cannot cast an object of one to an object of the other
 - Why?
 - Compiler defines completely different classes!

Class templates in OO design

- An alternative to using an inheritance hierarchy
 - More flexible, as template classes stand alone
 - More efficient than using virtual functions
- Both are ways to have objects with independent behaviors, but all sharing a common interface
- The STL is mostly template classes and functions
 - Ditto the Java Collections Framework by the way
- Even a `string` is actually a specialization of a template, defined as follows in namespace `std`:
 - `typedef basic_string<char> string;`
 - **Also:** `typedef basic_string<wchar_t> wstring;`