

C++ templates

- Like "blueprints" for the compiler to use in creating class and function definitions – Repeat – *the compiler writes the code for you*
- Involve one or more parameterized types

 e.g., function template to compare object sizes
 template <typename T1, typename T2>
 int sizeComp(T1 const &o1, T2 const &o2)
 { return (sizeof ol sizeof o2); }
 - e.g., class template for a list that holds any type template <typename DataType> class List{...};

Function templates

- Alternative to function overloading
 - But code for concrete types created only as needed
 - And the programmer does not have to write it! - Compiler deduces parameter types if not specified
 - int x = sizeComp('a', 7); // now the compiler will use the template to create sizeComp(char, int) x = sizeComp<int, int>('a', 7.5); // specify(int,int)
 - And no casts or run-time conversions required
- Better choice than macros
 - Strictly type-checked, and no nasty side effects
- See greater example in .../demo09/function_template

More function templates

- Template definition must be in header file

 Compiler must know how to define function
 So template cannot be in separate .cpp file
- Can specialize for particular types
 - Tells the compiler to use specialized version instead of creating a new definition
 - In this case, okay to declare in .h and implement in .cpp
 - e.g., template <> int const &greater<int>(...);
 No template parameters exact types everywhere else
 - No type conversions are made must be exact match
 So it is usually better to just overload instead of specialize

Class templates

- Alternative to inheritance and more flexible
 No cosmic superclass in C++ (like java.lang.Object)
- Objects are always a particular type
 - e.g., List<int> is unrelated to List<char>
 i.e., not a hierarchy like inheritance provides
 - User must specify the type not deduced by compiler
 Unless default type in definition: <typename T = int>
- Can grant friendship to functions or classes
- Can be specialized, fully or partially
- Can be derived classes, and can be base classes

Implementing class templates

- All but specializations must be in header file
 Compiler can't write the class without the blueprint
 Note the sengrete compilation model using the compart
 - Note: the separate compilation model using the export keyword (Nagler pp. 392-6) does not work with g++ yet
 Simplest way is implicit inline inside class definition
- If implement outside class (but still in header file) must parameterize class name wherever it is used
 See Complex example in .../demo09/class_template
- Specialized functions may be in a .cpp file - But declare in header to let compiler know not to create

Back to inheritance topics

Inheriting functions

- Function hiding if function defined in derived class with same *name* as function(s) in base class
 Hides *all* non-virtual base class functions with same name
- But can do using Base::name to unhide
 Manager functions are never inherited
 But still often must access e.g., always need base's ctor

 Can use Base(arg list) in derived class's initializer list
 - Can use pase (*ug usi*) in derived class similarizer nst
 In operator= and others use scope resolution Base::operator=(...)
- Upcasts base pointer/reference for derived instance OK
 Never upcast with arrays different sizes ruin pointer arithmetic
 Called "object slicing" if derived instance copied to base instance

virtual functions

- Polymorphism is not automatic in C++
 - Function must be declared virtual in base class
 Otherwise derived class will hide it, not override it
 Virtual functions stay virtual for all descendants
 See .../demo08/loans/ example
- Note: dtors *must* be virtual to allow derivation
- Abstract base classes any class with a "pure virtual" function cannot be instantiated *per se*
 - e.g., virtual void func() = 0; // pure virtual
 Derived classes must implement or they are abstract too
 - All instances are actually derived class instances