

①
Today: Power of 2 choices

- useful for hashing schemes

Balls into bins - simple scheme

Setting: n balls & n bins

- Random assignment:

For each ball, independently assign to a uniformly at random bin.

Let $L(i) :=$ load of bin $i =$ # of balls assigned to bin i

Max load = $\max_i L(i)$

How large is the max load?

$O(\log n)$ with high probability (whp)

- can show it's $(1+o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$ whp

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(L(i) > 2 \log n) &\leq \binom{n}{2 \log n} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2 \log n} \\
 &\leq \frac{n^{2 \log n}}{(2 \log n)!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2 \log n} \quad \left(\text{Since } \binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!}\right) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{e}{2 \log n}\right)^{2 \log n} \quad \left(\text{Since } k! \geq \left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k\right) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 \log n} \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large} \\
 &\quad \text{so that } \log n > e. \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by a union bound,

with prob. $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, max load $\leq 2 \log n$.

Can we improve this constant?

Yes, $\Pr(L(i) > \log n + \log \log n) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)$

Hence, max load $\leq \log n + \log \log n$ w. prob. $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{\log n}$

this is $(1 + o(1)) \log n$

Better approach:

Assign balls sequentially into bins

For ball $i=1 \rightarrow n$:

- Choose 2 random bins j & k .
- Check $L(j)$ & $L(k)$ for their current loads.
- If $L(j) < L(k)$ then:
 - assign ball i to bin j
- If $L(k) \leq L(j)$ then:
 - assign ball i to bin k

(In other words, assign ball i to the least loaded of 2 randomly chosen bins)

Theorem [Azar, Broder, Karlin, Uptal '94]

Max load is $O(\log \log n)$ with high probability.

More generally, with $d \geq 2$ choices, it's $O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log d}\right)$

Proof high-level idea:

Let $B_i = \#$ of bins with load $\geq i$ at the end of the assignment

Suppose we could prove $B_i \leq \beta_i$ whp

Then,

$$\Pr(\text{ball } i \text{ is assigned to a bin with load } \geq i) \leq \left(\frac{\beta_i}{n}\right)^2$$

since need $L(j), L(k) \geq i$ for it to occur.

Thus,

$$B_{i+1} \leq \text{Bin}\left(n, \left(\frac{\beta_i}{n}\right)^2\right)$$

the mean of \uparrow is $\frac{\beta_i^2}{n}$

& it should be close by Chernoff bound.

Thus, $\beta_{i+1} \approx n \left(\frac{\beta_i}{n} \right)^2 = \frac{\beta_i^2}{n}$

Note, $\beta_2 = \frac{n}{2}$ holds since $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ bins have ≥ 2 balls.

Then, the recurrence solves to: $\beta_{i+2} = \frac{n}{2^{2^i}}$

hence for $i^* \approx \log \log n$ we get $\beta_{i+2} < 1$
 So no ~~bin~~ bins have load $> i^* \approx \log \log n$.

Now let's formalize the proof.

Proof:

$$\text{Base case: } \beta_6 = \frac{n}{2e}$$

Note, $\leq \frac{n}{6}$ bins have load ≥ 6 .

Since $\frac{n}{6} < \frac{n}{2e}$ we know $B_6 \leq \beta_6$.

For $i > 6$, let $\beta_{i+1} = \frac{e\beta_i^2}{n}$

Let the event $\mathcal{A}_i = \{B_i \leq \beta_i\}$

& $B_i = \overline{\mathcal{A}_i} = \{B_i > \beta_i\}$

Note,

$$\Pr(B_{i+1} | \mathcal{A}_i) = \Pr(B_{i+1} > \beta_{i+1} | \mathcal{A}_i)$$

$$\leq \frac{\Pr(\text{Bin}(n, (\frac{\beta_i}{n})^2) > \beta_{i+1})}{\Pr(\mathcal{A}_i)}$$

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A}_i)$$

By a Chernoff bound, $\Pr(X \geq eu) \leq e^{-u}$

(7)

Thus
$$\Pr(B_{i+1} | \mathcal{G}_i) \leq \frac{e^{-\beta_i/n}}{\Pr(\mathcal{G}_i)} \leq \frac{1/n^2}{\Pr(\mathcal{G}_i)}$$

assuming
$$\frac{\beta_i^2}{n} \geq 2 \ln n.$$

Now let's bound $\Pr(\mathcal{G}_i)$.

Claim: $\Pr(B_i) \leq 1/n^2$ assuming $\frac{\beta_i^2}{n} \geq 2 \ln n.$

Using the claim, let i^* be the min i where $\beta_i^2 < 2 \ln n.$

Since $\beta_{i+1} = \frac{e \beta_i^2}{n}$ then $i^* = \frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln 2}$

Thus, for $i^* \leq \ln \ln n$ we have $\beta_{i^*} \leq \sqrt{2 \ln n}$

& we conclude that:

$\leq \sqrt{2 \ln n}$ bins have load $\geq \ln \ln n$
with high probability. $\geq 1 - \frac{i^*}{n} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$

~~$\geq \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}$~~

Proof of claim:

Base case: we know $\Pr(B_0) = 0$ ✓

In general, recall $\Pr(B_{i+1} | \mathcal{H}_i) \leq \frac{1/n^2}{\Pr(\mathcal{H}_i)}$

thus:

$$\Pr(B_{i+1}) \leq \Pr(B_{i+1} | \mathcal{H}_i) \Pr(\mathcal{H}_i) + \Pr(B_{i+1} | B_i) \Pr(B_i)$$

$$\leq \frac{1/n^2}{\Pr(\mathcal{H}_i)} \Pr(\mathcal{H}_i) + \frac{\Pr(B_{i+1}, B_i)}{\Pr(B_i)} \Pr(B_i)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2} + \Pr(B_i)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{i}{n^2} \text{ by induction}$$

$$\leq \frac{(i+1)}{n^2} \quad \square$$

(9)

Once again we ~~know~~ have that:

$$\Pr(B_i) \leq \frac{i}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } i \text{ where}$$

$$\frac{\beta_i^2}{n} \geq 2 \ln n$$

Let i^* be the min i where $\beta_i^2 < 2 \ln n$.

Solving the recurrence ~~β_i~~ $\beta_{i+1} = \frac{e \beta_i^2}{n}$

we have $i^* = \frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln 2}$ & since $\beta_{i^*}^2 \leq 2 \ln n$

$$\text{so } \beta_{i^*} \leq \sqrt{2 \ln n}.$$

Therefore, $\leq \sqrt{2 \ln n}$ bins have load $\geq \ln n$
with prob. $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

To finish off the proof:

Claim: $\Pr(B_{i^*+2} \geq 1) = O(\frac{\log^2 n}{n})$

Proof:

Let $\mathcal{A}_{i^*+1} = \{B_{i^*+1} \leq 6 \ln n\}$

$\Pr(B_{i^*+1}) \leq \Pr(B_{i^*+1} \geq 6 \ln n | \mathcal{A}_{i^*}) \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{i^*}) + \Pr(B_{i^*})$

$\leq \Pr(\text{Bin}(n, \frac{2 \ln n}{n}) \geq 6 \ln n) + \frac{1}{n}$
from claim.

$\leq \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n}$ by Chernoff bound

$= O(\frac{1}{n})$

Now for i^*+2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(B_{i^*+2} \geq 1) &\leq \Pr(B_{i^*+2} \geq 1 | \mathcal{H}_{i^*+1}) \Pr(\mathcal{H}_{i^*+1}) + \Pr(B_{i^*+1}) \\
 &\leq \Pr(\text{Bin}(n, (\frac{6 \ln n}{n})^2) \geq 1) + O(\frac{1}{n}) \\
 &\leq n \left(\frac{6 \ln n}{n} \right)^2 + O(\frac{1}{n}) \\
 &= O\left(\frac{(\ln n)^2}{n}\right) = o(1). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$