## Edge Linking

## Example



## Lane Detection and Departure Warning



## Edge Linking Rationale

Edge maps are still in an image format

* Image to data structure transform
* Two issues
$\square$ Identity: there are so many edge points, which ones should be grouped together?
$\square$ Representation: now that a group of edge pixels are identified, how best to represent them?


## The Canny edge detector



Problem: pixels along this edge didn't survive the
thresholding
thinning
(non-maximum suppression)

## Hysteresis thresholding

Check that maximum value of gradient value is sufficiently large
$\square$ drop-outs? use hysteresis
$>$ use a high threshold to start edge curves and a low threshold to continue them.


## Hysteresis thresholding


original image

high threshold (strong edges)

low threshold (weak edges)

hysteresis threshold


## Object boundaries vs. edges



Background


Texture


Shactows III

## Edge detection is just the beginning...



Berkeley segmentation database:
http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Research/Projects/CS/vision/grouping/segbench/

## Much more on segmentation later in term <br> source L.E Lazebnik

## Identity

* Measurement space clustering
$\square$ curve fitting
$\square$ global technique
* Image space grouping
$\square$ tracing or following
$\square$ with known templates
$\square$ local technique


## Intuition

Q: If several points fall on the same line, what "commonality" is there?


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{o}, y_{o}\right) \cdot(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)=\rho \\
& x_{o} \cos \theta+y_{o} \sin \theta=\rho
\end{aligned}
$$

## Measurement Space Clustering

* Example: Hough transform






## Duality of Representation

* Image space
$\square$ a line
$\square$ a point
* Measurement space
$\square$ a point
$\square$ a sinusoidal curve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =x_{o} \cos \theta+y_{o} \sin \theta \\
& =\sqrt{x_{o}^{2}+y_{o}^{2}}\left(\frac{x_{o}}{\sqrt{x_{o}^{2}+y_{o}^{2}}} \cos \theta+\frac{y_{o}}{\sqrt{x_{o}^{2}+y_{o}^{2}}} \sin \theta\right) \\
& =\sqrt{x_{o}^{2}+y_{o}^{2}} \cos (\theta-\alpha) \\
& \text { where } \alpha=\tan ^{-1} \frac{y_{o}}{x_{o}}
\end{aligned}
$$


\% A voting (evidence accumulation) scheme

* A point votes for all lines it is on
* All points (on a single line) vote for the single line they are on
* Tolerate a certain degree of occlusion
* Must know the parametric form


## Hough Transform Algorithm

* Select a parametric form
* Quantize measurement space
* For each edge pixel, increment all cells satisfying the parametric form
* Locate maximum in the measurement space

$$
\rho-\theta
$$

$\theta: \min : 0^{\circ}, \max : 359^{\circ}$, inc $: 1^{\circ}$
$\rho: \min : 0, \max : N \sqrt{2}, i n c: 1 \mathrm{pxl}$
for $\theta=0$ to 360 inc 1 $\rho=x_{o} \cos \theta+y_{o} \sin \theta$
$(\rho, \theta)++$
end

## Example



Image
Accumulator arras ( $\theta$, d $)$ E
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$$
\begin{array}{l:l}
\rho & 0 \\
Q & 0
\end{array}
$$







## Hough Transform for Circles

Image space

Measurement space

$$
(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}=r^{2}
$$

$$
\left(a-x_{o}\right)^{2}+\left(b-y_{o}\right)^{2}=r_{o}^{2}
$$




## General 3D Measurement Space

$$
\left(a-x_{o}\right)^{2}+\left(b-y_{o}\right)^{2}=r^{2}
$$



## Hough Transform (cont.)

Theoretically, Hough transform can be constructed for any parametric curve
$\square$ a curve with $n$ parameters
$\square$ n-dimensional measurement space
$\square$ ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ )-dimensional surfaces for each image point
$\square$ highly computationally intensive if $\mathrm{n}>3$
$\square$ used mainly for lines, circles, ellipses, etc.


(a)

(b)


Sometimes edge detectors find the boundary pretty well


Sometimes not well at all


At times we want to find a complete bounding contour of an object:


At other times we want to find an internal or partial contour. E.g., the best path between two points:



Which of these two paths is better?

How do we decide how good a path is?


## Example: edgels to line segments to contours



Original image


Contours derived from edgels


Desired properties of an image contour:

- Contour should be near/on edges
- Strength of gradient
- Contour should be smooth (good continuation)
- Low curvature


## Active Contours (deformable

 contours, snakes)

* Points, corners, lines, circles, etc., do not characterize well many objects, especially non-man-made ones
* We want other ways to describe and represent objects and image regions: Contour representations
* In particular, active contours are contour representations that conform to the (2D) shape by combining geometry and physics to make elastic, deformable shape models
$\square$ These are often used to track contours in time, so the shape deforms to stay with the changing object


## Active Contours

Given an initial contour estimate, find the best match to the image data - evolve the contour to fit the object boundary
$\square$ This is an optimization problem
> Often uses dynamic programming, or something similar, in its solution
$>$ Iterates until final solution, or until a time limit
$\square$ Visual evidence (support) for the contour can come from edges, corners, detected features, or even user input

* Current best contour fit can be the initial estimate for the subsequent frame (e.g., in tracking over time)
* Active contours are particularly useful when dealing with deformable (non-rigid) objects and surfaces
$\square$ These are not easily described by edges, corners, etc.



## Active Contours



- Applications:
$\square$ Object segmentation (for object recognition, medical imaging, etc.)
$\square$ Tracking through time
$\square$ Region selection (e.g., in Photoshop) - human in the loop


## Contour tracking examples

* http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=laiykNbPkgg
* http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5se69vcbqxA
* http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ARIZzcE11Es
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OFTDqGLa2p0

Illusory contours


Human vision seems to "fill in" where there is visual evidence of a contour




C



## Partial contours

* Active contours can deal with occluded or missing image data

initial

intermediate



## Active contours

* Think of an active contour as an elastic band, with an initial default (low energy) shape, that gets pulled or pushed to be near image positions that satisfy various criteria
$\square$ Be near high gradients, detected points, user input, etc.
$\square$ Don't get stretched too much
$\square$ Keep a smooth shape
* How is the current contour adjusted to find the new contour at each iteration?
$\square$ Define a cost function ("energy" function) that says how good a possible configuration is.
$\square$ Seek next configuration that minimizes that cost function.



## Energy minimization framework

* Framework: energy minimization
$\square$ Bending and stretching curve = more energy
$\square$ Good features $=$ less energy
$\square$ Curve evolves to minimize energy
* Parametric representation of the curve

$$
v(s)=(x(s), y(s))
$$

* Minimize an energy function on $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{s})$

$$
E_{\text {total }}=E_{\text {internal }}+E_{\text {external }}+E_{\text {constraint }}
$$

## Energy minimization framewo ${ }_{600}$

$$
E_{\text {total }}=E_{\text {internal }}+E_{\text {external }}+E_{\text {constraint }}
$$

* A good fit between the current deformable contour and the target shape in the image will yield a low value for this cost (energy) function

Internal energy: encourage prior shape preferences: e.g., smoothness, elasticity, particular known shape.
$\square$ External energy ("image" energy): encourage contour to fit on places where image structures exist, e.g., edges.
$\square$ Constraint energy: allow for specific (often user-specified) constraints that alter the contour locally

## Energy minimization

## The energy functional typically consists of three terms:

$$
\mathcal{E}=\int\left[\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathrm{v}(s))+\varepsilon_{i m g}(\mathrm{v}(s))+\mathcal{E}_{c o n}(\mathrm{v}(s))\right] d s
$$



$$
\varepsilon_{i m g}=-w \cdot\|\nabla I(x, y)\|^{2}
$$

Maximize gradient along contour
(Minimize the negative of this)


$$
\varepsilon_{c o n}=k \cdot\|\mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{x}\|^{2}
$$

Spring constraint (attraction)

$$
\varepsilon_{c o n}=\frac{k}{\|\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{x}\|^{2}}
$$

Negative spring constraint (repulsion)


## Examples


are large only directly on the boundary


Internal model is too "tight"

## Examples



## Examples



## Corpus callosum example



## Corpus callosum example



## Lips example




## Active contours: pros and cons

## Pros:

Useful to track and fit non-rigid shapes

* Contour remains connected
* Possible to fill in "subjective" contours

Flexibility in how energy function is defined, weighted.
Cons:

* Must have decent initialization near true boundary, may get stuck in local minimum
* Parameters of energy function must be set well based on prior information


## Devil in the Details

* Snake: an energy minimizing spline
subject to
$\square$ internal forces (template shape)
$>$ resisting stretching and compression
- maintain natural length
$>$ resisting bending
- maintain natural curvature
$>$ resisting twisting
- maintain natural torsion (for 3D snake)
$\square$ external forces (shape detector)
$>$ attract a snake to lines, edges, corners, etc.


## Physics Law

* A snake's final position and shape influenced by
$\square$ balance of all applied forces
$\square$ total potential energy is minimum
$\square$ a dynamic sequence is played out which is based on physics principle

A 2D snake


- Internal energy

$$
E_{\mathrm{int}}=\int^{\alpha\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}} \begin{aligned}
& +\beta\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

- resisting stretching and compression

$$
E_{1}=\int\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2} d s
$$

- resisting bending

$$
E_{2}=\int\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2} d s
$$

* External energy
$\square$ point attachment

$$
E=l\left|\left(x_{o}, y_{o}\right)-\left(x\left(s_{o}\right), y\left(s_{o}\right)\right)\right|^{2}
$$

- attach the snake to a bright line (not used in hw)

$$
E=-\int I(c(s)) d s
$$

- attach the snake to an edge

$$
E=-\int(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s
$$

* Treated as an minimization problem, we are looking for a function $c(s)$ or $f(s, t)$ that minimizes the total energy (int+ext)
* Intuitively,
$\square$ small internal energy, less stretching, bending, twisting, closer to the natural resting state
$\square$ small external energy, confirming to external constraints (e.g., close to attachment points, image contours, etc.)
* For those of you who are mathematics-gifted, you probably recognize this as a calculus of variation problem
* The solution is the Euler equation (a partial differential equation)
* The energy expression is a "functional"
* Need a function to give the extremal value of the "functional"


## Calculus

function$\square$ locations (extremums of function)
$\square$ derivatives
$\square$ ordinary equations

$\frac{d f}{d x}=0$

## * Variational Calculus

$\square$ functional
$\square$ functions (extremums of functional)
$\square$ variational derivatives
$\square$ partial differential equations


* For those of you who are physics-gifted, you probably recognize this as a generalized force problem
* Again, the solution is based on the Euler equation (a partial differential equation) of variational derivatives


## Math Detail

Need to maintain
$\square$ Length (no stretching)
$\square$ Curvature (no bending)

* Both arc length and curvature are vectors!



## Math Detail

Most generally, allowing both translation and rotation (a rigid-body motion) that doesn't deform the shape

* Tangent and curvature vectors do not have to line up (under rotation), but their magnitude should be maintained
* Turn out the math becomes very messy

* Simpler formulation: translation only (or small rotation)
* Vectors should line up



## Mathematical Details

## Minimize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\text {total }}=E_{\mathrm{int}}+E_{\text {ext }} \\
& \left.\left.=\int \alpha\left(\left|c_{s}(s)\right|-\left|c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right|\right)^{2}+\beta\left(\left|c_{s s}(s)\right|-\left|c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right|\right)^{2}-\delta\right\rangle(s)\right)-(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplify (translati on only)

$$
E_{\text {total }}=E_{\mathrm{int}}+E_{e x t}
$$

$$
\left.\left.=\int \alpha\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}+\beta\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}-\delta<s\right)\right)-(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s
$$

Discretize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{s}(s)=c_{i+1}-c_{i}=\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}, y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right) \\
& c_{s s}(s)=c_{i+1}-2 c_{i}+c_{i-1}=\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right) \\
& E(c) \Rightarrow E\left(x_{o}, y_{o}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Mathematical Details

* Turn a variational calculus problem into a standard calculus problem
* 2 n variables
* 2 n equations (linear equations)
* Can solve a (very sparse) matrix equation of $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{B}$ using Matlab A\B (or iterative)
* Sparsity comes from $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ order derivative approximation using only neighboring points minimize
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{E}\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}E(c) \Rightarrow E\left(x_{o}, y_{o}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) \\ \\ \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_{o}}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_{o}}=\cdots=\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_{n-1}}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_{n-1}}=0\end{array}\right.$


## Mathematical Details

## Minimize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\text {total }}=E_{\text {int }}+E_{\text {ext }} \\
& \left.=\int \alpha\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}+\beta\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}-\delta I(\nabla s)\right)-(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Discretize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{s}(s)=c_{i+1}-c_{i}=\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}, y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right) \\
& c_{s s}(s)=c_{i+1}-2 c_{i}+c_{i-1}=\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a particular $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}=\left(\left[x_{i+1}-x_{i}, y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right]-\left[x^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-x^{(0)}{ }_{i}, y^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-y^{(0)}{ }_{i}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =\left[\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-x^{(0)}{ }_{i}\right),\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)-\left(y^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-y^{(0)}{ }_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left(\left(\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-x^{(0)}{ }_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)-\left(y^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-y^{(0)}{ }_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \frac{\partial\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}=2\left[-\left(\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{k+1}-x^{(0)}{ }_{k}\right)\right)+\left(x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{k}-x^{(0)}{ }_{k-1}\right)\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$1^{\text {st }}$ derivatives of $x_{k+1}$ and $x_{k}$ involve $x_{k}$ Pattern: -1, 2, -1

## Mathematical Details

## Minimize

$E_{\text {total }}=E_{\text {int }}+E_{\text {ext }}$
$\left.=\int_{0}^{0} \alpha\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}+\beta\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}-1\right)-(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s$
Discretize
$c_{s}(s)=c_{i+1}-c_{i}=\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}, y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)$
$c_{s s}(s)=c_{i+1}-2 c_{i}+c_{i-1}=\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)$
For a particular $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}=\left(\left[x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right]-\left[x^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-2 x^{(0)}{ }_{i}+x^{(0)}{ }_{i-1}, y^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-2 y^{(0)}{ }_{i}+y^{(0)}{ }_{i-1}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =\left[\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-2 x^{(0)}{ }_{i}+x^{(0)}{ }_{i-1}\right),\left(y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)-\left(y^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-2 y^{(0)}{ }_{i}+y^{(0)}{ }_{i-1}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left(\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-2 x^{\left(0{ }_{i}\right.}{ }_{i}+x^{(0)}{ }_{i-1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\left(y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)-\left(y^{(0)}{ }_{i+1}-2 y^{(0)}{ }_{i}+y^{(0)}{ }_{i-1}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \frac{\partial\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}= \\
& 2\left[\left(\left(x_{k+2}-2 x_{k+1}+x_{k}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{k+2}-2 x^{(0)}{ }_{k+1}+x^{(0)}{ }_{k}\right)\right)\right]+ \\
& 2\left[-2\left(\left(x_{k+1}-2 x_{k}+x_{k-1}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{k+1}-2 x^{(0)}{ }_{k}+x^{(0)}{ }_{k-1}\right)\right)\right]+ \\
& 2\left[\left(\left(x_{k}-2 x_{k-1}+x_{k-2}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{k}-2 x^{(0)}{ }_{k-1}+x^{(0)}{ }_{k-2}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\uparrow
$$

2nd derivatives of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ involve $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$
Pattern: 1, -4, 6, -4, 1

## Mathematical Details

Minimize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\text {total }}=E_{\mathrm{int}}+E_{\text {ext }} \\
& \left.\left.\left.=\int \alpha\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}+\beta\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}-\delta \\
right\rangle s\right)\right)-(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Discretize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{s}(s)=c_{i+1}-c_{i}=\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}, y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right) \\
& c_{s s}(s)=c_{i+1}-2 c_{i}+c_{i-1}=\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}, y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a particular $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :
$(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2}=\left[I_{x}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), I_{y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=\left(I_{x}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(I_{y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$
$\frac{\partial(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}=2\left[I_{x}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right) \frac{\partial I_{x}}{\partial x_{k}}+I_{y}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right) \frac{\partial I_{y}}{\partial x_{k}}\right]=2\left[I_{x}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right), I_{y}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right]\left[\frac{\partial I_{x}}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial I_{y}}{\partial x_{k}}\right]$

## Mathematical Details

Minimize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\text {total }}=E_{\text {int }}+E_{\text {ext }} \\
& =\int \alpha\left(c_{s}(s)-c_{s}^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}+\beta\left(c_{s s}(s)-c_{s s}{ }^{(0)}(s)\right)^{2}-\delta I(c(s))-(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2} d s \\
& \frac{\partial(\nabla I(c(s)))^{2}}{\partial x_{k}}=2\left[I_{x}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right) \frac{\partial I_{x}}{\partial x_{k}}+I_{y}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right) \frac{\partial I_{y}}{\partial x_{k}}\right]=2\left[I_{x}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right), I_{y}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right]\left[\frac{\partial I_{x}}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial I_{y}}{\partial x_{k}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivative of E (potential) is a gradient (force) field

- Minimization go in the negative gradient direction

Pull the snake in the direction
$\square$ Large gradient
Large increase in gradient
$\square$ around a node

## Details

$(-1,2,-1)+(1-4,6,-4,1)=(1,-5,8,-5,1)$
$\square$ Not diagonally dominant, need conditioning (regularization)

* Resulting in linear equations of form $\mathrm{AX}+\mathrm{B}$
$\square$ A is pentdiagonal matrix of the form $(1,-5,8,-5,1)$
$\square$ B has all constant terms
$>$ Template $\left(\mathrm{x}^{(0)}, \mathrm{y}^{(0)}\right)$
- Fixed
- Has the form of - $\mathrm{AX}^{(0)}$
> External energy term <- varying

$$
\left[I_{x}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right), I_{y}\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right]\left[\frac{\partial I_{x}}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial I_{y}}{\partial x_{k}}\right]
$$

* The equation represents balance of forces!
$\square$ A force to enforce similar tangent

$$
\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)-\left(x^{(0)}{ }_{k+1}-x^{(0)}{ }_{k}\right)
$$

$\square$ A force to enforce similar curvature

$$
\left(x_{k+2}-2 x_{k+1}+x_{k}\right)-\left(x_{k+2}^{(0)}-2 x_{k+1}^{(0)}+x_{k}^{(0)}\right)
$$

$\square$ A force to penalize non-maximum intensity
$\square$ A force to penalize not at zero crossing
$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x_{k}}$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} I}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}
$$



Caveat:
$\square$ Snake needs good initial position
$\square$ Provided by initial interactive placement
$\square$ Smooth images to enlarge "potential field"
$\square$ Snake won't move if
$>$ Gradient is zero or
$>$ Change of gradient is zero

## Numerical Methods - Iterative

Using Euler's method: expressions $\mathrm{AX}+\mathrm{B}$ are gradient
Minimize $E_{\text {total }}=E_{\mathrm{int}}+E_{\text {ext }}$
gradient $: \frac{\partial E_{\text {total }}}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial E_{\mathrm{int}}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial E_{\text {ext }}}{\partial x}$

Explicit Euler:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A X_{t-1}+B_{t-1}=-\lambda\left(X_{t}-X_{t-1}\right) \\
& A X_{t}+B_{t}=-\lambda\left(X_{t}-X_{t-1}\right) \\
& A X_{t}+B_{t-1}=-\lambda\left(X_{t}-X_{t-1}\right) \\
& (A+\lambda I) X_{t}=-B_{t-1}+\lambda X_{t-1} \\
& X_{t}=(A+\lambda I)^{-1}\left(-B_{t-1}+\lambda X_{t-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Justification for mixed Euler:
$\square$ B cannot be evaluated without knowing $X_{t}$, so use values at $X_{t-1}$
A can be easily inverted, so use $X_{t}$


## Numerical Methods - Direct

* Should result in a sparse, pentadiagonal matrix
* $\mathbf{A X}=\mathbf{B}$, solve with
$\square$ Direct method $\mathbf{X}=\operatorname{inv}(\mathbf{A}) * \mathbf{B}$ (preferred for small system $<20$ points and GOOD initialization)
Caveats:
$\square$ A can be numerically ill-conditioned (not diagonally dominant the |diagonal element| is larger than the sum of |off-diagonal elements|)
* Fix: Regularization (a topic to be discussed more later)
* Minimize $\|A X-B\|^{\wedge} 2+w\|X\|^{\wedge} 2$
* $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{wI}\right) \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ or $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{inv}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}+w \mathrm{I}\right)^{*} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$


## Numerical Methods

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{crrrrrr}
a=[ \\
8 & -5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\
-5 & 8 & -5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -5 & 8 & -5 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -5 & 8 & -5 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 8 & -5 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 8 & -5 \\
-5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 8
\end{array} \\
& \text { ]; } \\
& \mathrm{b}=\operatorname{rand}(7,1) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

for lambda $=0: 1: 10$
$x=\operatorname{inv}(a ' a+l a m b d a * \operatorname{eye}(7)) * a^{\prime} * b ;$ $\operatorname{err}(\operatorname{lambda}+1)=\operatorname{norm}\left(a^{*} x-b\right)$;
end
plot(err)



Lambda=0

## Direct or Iterative

* No iteration
* Initial state must be close to final state (because external energy is position dependent), image smoothing is important
* Require good template and update of templates
* Iterative
- Initial state does not have to be close to final state

External energy terms must be updated through out

