Artificial Intelligence

CS 165A
Apr 14, 2022

Instructor: Prof. Yu-Xiang Wang

→ Factorization and conditional independence
→ Bayesian Network Examples
→ Conditional Independence
Logistics

• You are added to Gradescope by your official ucsb email

• Gradescope Project 1 submissions are open
  – Two separate submissions one for code, the other for the report

• The bonus part of of Project 1 has no deadline.
  – accept submissions throughout the rest of the quarter.
Recap: Example: Modelling with BayesNet

I’m at work and my neighbor John called to say my home alarm is ringing, but my neighbor Mary didn’t call. The alarm is sometimes triggered by minor earthquakes. Was there a burglar at my house?

• Random (boolean) variables:
  – JohnCalls, MaryCalls, Earthquake, Burglar, Alarm

• The belief net shows the causal links

• This defines the joint probability
  – P(JohnCalls, MaryCalls, Earthquake, Burglar, Alarm)

• What do we want to know?  \( P(B \mid J, \lnot M) \)
Recap: What are the CPTs? What are their dimensions?

Question: How to fill values into these CPTs?
Ans: Specify by hands. Learn from data (e.g., MLE).
Recap: Example

Joint probability? $P(J, \neg M, A, B, \neg E)$?
This lecture

• Continue with the above example
  – Probabilistic inference via marginalization

• Conditional independence

• Reading off Conditional Independences from a Bayesian Network
  – d-separation
  – Bayes Ball algorithm
  – Markov Blanket
Calculate \( P(J, \neg M, A, B, \neg E) \)

Read the joint pf from the graph:
\[
P(J, M, A, B, E) = P(B) \ P(E) \ P(A|B,E) \ P(J|A) \ P(M|A)
\]

Plug in the desired values:
\[
P(J, \neg M, A, B, \neg E) = P(B) \ P(\neg E) \ P(A|B,\neg E) \ P(J|A) \ P(\neg M|A)
\]
\[
= 0.001 \times 0.998 \times 0.94 \times 0.9 \times 0.3
\]
\[
= 0.0002532924
\]

How about \( P(B \mid J, \neg M) \)?

Remember, this means \( P(B=\text{true} \mid J=\text{true}, M=\text{false}) \)
Calculate $P(B \mid J, \neg M)$

$$P(B \mid J, \neg M) = \frac{P(B, J, \neg M)}{P(J, \neg M)}$$

By marginalization:

$$\sum_i \sum_j P(J, \neg M, A_i, B, E_j)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k P(J, \neg M, A_i, B_j, E_k)}{\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k P(J, \neg M, A_i, B_j, E_k)}$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_j P(B)P(E_j)P(A_i \mid B, E_j)P(J \mid A_i)P(\neg M \mid A_i)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k P(B_j)P(E_k)P(A_i \mid B_j, E_k)P(J \mid A_i)P(\neg M \mid A_i)}{\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k P(B_j)P(E_k)P(A_i \mid B_j, E_k)P(J \mid A_i)P(\neg M \mid A_i)}$$
Variable elimination algorithm

\[
P(B \mid J, \neg M) = \frac{P(B, J, \neg M)}{P(J, \neg M)} = \sum_i \sum_j P(B)P(E_j)P(A_i \mid B, E_j)P(J \mid A_i)P(\neg M \mid A_i)
\]

*Exchange the order of summation and product*
Quick checkpoint

• Bayesian Network as a modelling tool

• By inspecting the cause-effect relationships, we can draw directed edges based on our domain knowledge

• The product of the CPTs give the joint distribution
  – We can calculate $P(A \mid B)$ for any $A$ and $B$
  – The factorization makes it computationally more tractable

What else can we get?
Example: Conditional Independence

• Conditional independence is seen here
  
  – \( P(\text{JohnCalls} \mid \text{MaryCalls}, \text{Alarm}, \text{Earthquake}, \text{Burglary}) = P(\text{JohnCalls} \mid \text{Alarm}) \)
  
  – So JohnCalls is independent of MaryCalls, Earthquake, and Burglary, given Alarm

• Does this mean that an earthquake or a burglary do not influence whether or not John calls?
  
  – No, but the influence is already accounted for in the Alarm variable
  
  – JohnCalls is conditionally independent of Earthquake, but not marginally independent of it

*This conclusion is independent to values of CPTs!
Question

If X and Y are independent, are they therefore independent given any variable(s)?

I.e., if \( P(X, Y) = P(X) \cdot P(Y) \) [i.e., if \( P(X|Y) = P(X) \)], can we conclude that
\[
P(X | Y, Z) = P(X | Z)\]?
Question

If X and Y are independent, are they therefore independent given any variable(s)?
I.e., if \( P(X, Y) = P(X) P(Y) \) [ i.e., if \( P(X|Y) = P(X) \) ], can we conclude that \( P(X \mid Y, Z) = P(X \mid Z) \)?

The answer is no, and here’s a counter example:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Weight of Person A} \\
X \\
\text{Weight of Person B} \\
Y \\
\text{Their combined weight} \\
Z
\end{array}
\]

\[
P(X \mid Y) = P(X) \\
P(X \mid Y, Z) \neq P(X \mid Z)
\]

Note: Even though Z is a deterministic function of X and Y, it is still a random variable with a probability distribution

*Again: This conclusion is independent to values of CPTs!
Big question: Is there a general way that we can answer questions about conditional independences by just inspecting the graphs?

• Turns out the answer is “Yes!”
Intuition: the graph and the edges controls the information flow, if there is no path that the information can flow from one-node to another, we say these two nodes are independent.

Figure 2.3: The nodes $X_2$ and $X_3$ separate $X_1$ from $X_6$. 

"Shading" denotes "observing" or "conditioning on" that variables.
d-separation in three canonical graphs

\[ X \perp Z \mid Y \]
“Chain: X and Z are d-separated by the observation of Y.”

\[ X \perp Z \mid Y \]
“Fork: X and Z are d-separated by the observation of Y.”

\[ X \perp Z \]
“Collider: X and Z are d-separated by NOT observing Y nor any descendants of Y.”
Examples

\[
P(W \mid R, G) = P(W \mid G)
\]

\[
P(T \mid C, F) = P(T \mid F)
\]

\[
P(W \mid I, M) \neq P(W \mid M)
\]

\[
P(W \mid I) = P(W)
\]
Examples

X – wet grass
Y – rainbow
Z – rain

\[ P(X, Y) \neq P(X) P(Y) \]
\[ P(X \mid Y, Z) = P(X \mid Z) \]

Are X and Y ind.? Are X and Y cond. ind. given…?

X – rain
Y – sprinkler
Z – wet grass
W – worms

\[ P(X, Y) = P(X) P(Y) \]
\[ P(X \mid Y, Z) \neq P(X \mid Z) \]
\[ P(X \mid Y, W) \neq P(X \mid W) \]
The Bayes Ball algorithm

• Let $X, Y, Z$ be “groups” of nodes / set / subgraphs.

• Shade nodes in $Y$
• Place a “ball” at each node in $X$
• Bounce balls around the graph according to rules

• If no ball reaches any node in $Z$, then declare

\[ X \perp Z \mid Y \]
The Ten Rules of Bayes Ball Algorithm

Please read [Jordan PGM Ch. 2.1] to learn more about the Bayes Ball algorithm
Examples (revisited using Bayes-ball alg)

\[ X \rightarrow \text{wet grass} \]
\[ Y \rightarrow \text{rainbow} \]
\[ Z \rightarrow \text{rain} \]

\[ P(X, Y) \neq P(X) P(Y) \]
\[ P(X \mid Y, Z) = P(X \mid Z) \]

Are X and Y ind.? Are X and Y cond. ind. given…?

\[ X \rightarrow \text{rain} \]
\[ Y \rightarrow \text{sprinkler} \]
\[ Z \rightarrow \text{wet grass} \]
\[ W \rightarrow \text{worms} \]

\[ P(X, Y) = P(X) P(Y) \]
\[ P(X \mid Y, Z) \neq P(X \mid Z) \]
\[ P(X \mid Y, W) \neq P(X \mid W) \]
Examples (3 min work)

Are $X$ and $Y$ independent?
Are $X$ and $Y$ conditionally independent given $Z$?

$P(X,Y) = P(X)P(Y)$   Yes
$P(X|Y,Z) = P(X|Z)$   Yes

$P(X,Y^c) \neq P(X)P(Y^c)$   No
$P(X|Y,Z^c) \neq P(X|Z^c)$   No

$P(X,Y)$

$P(X)P(Y)$   No

$P(X|Y,Z)$

$P(X|Z)$   No

Are $X$ and $Y$ independent?
Are $X$ and $Y$ conditionally independent given $Z$?

$X \rightarrow Y$

$X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow W$
Conditional Independence

• Where are conditional independences here?

Radio and Ignition, given Battery?
Radio and Starts, given Ignition?
Gas and Radio, given Battery?
Gas and Radio, given Starts?
Gas and Radio, given nil?
Gas and Battery, given Moves?
Quick checkpoint

• Reading conditional independences from the DAG itself.

• d-separation
  – Three canonical graphs: Chain, Fork, Collider

• Bayes ball algorithm for determining whether \( X \perp Z \mid Y \)
  – Bounce the ball from any node in \( X \) by following the ten rules
  – If any ball reaches any node in \( Z \), then return “False”
  – Otherwise, return “True”
An alternative view: Markov Blankets

1. Parents
2. Children
3. Children’s other parents

Then A is d-separated from everything else.
Example: Markov Blankets

- Question: What is the Markov Blanket of …
  - “Ignition”:
  - “Starts”:
Why are conditional independences important?

• Helps the developer (or the user) verify the graph structure
  – Are these variables really independent?
  – Do I need more/fewer edges in the graphical model?

• Statistical tests for (Conditional) Independence
  • Hilbert-Schmidt Independence Criterion (not covered)

• Hints on computational efficiencies

• Shows that you understand BNs…
Inference in Bayesian networks

- We’ve seen how to compute any probability from the Bayesian network
  - This is *probabilistic inference*
    - P(Query | Evidence)
  - Since we know the joint probability, we can calculate anything via marginalization
    - P(Query, Evidence) / P(Evidence)

- However, things are usually not as simple as this
  - Structure is large or very complicated
  - Calculation by marginalization is often intractable
  - Bayesian inference is NP hard in space and time!!
  - (Details in AIMA Ch 13.4)
Inference in Bayesian networks (cont.)

• So in all but the most simple BNs, probabilistic inference is not really done just by marginalization

• Instead, there are practical algorithms for doing approximate probabilistic inference
  – Recall a similar argument in surrogate losses in ML

• Markov Chain Monte Carlo, Message Passing / Loopy Belief Propagation
  – Active area of research!

• We won’t cover these probabilistic inference algorithms though…. (Read AIMA Ch 13.5)
One more thing: Continuous Variables?

- Dimension check: What are the shapes of the CPTs?

- Discretize? Very large CPT..

- Usually, we parametrize the conditional distribution.
  - e.g., \( P(\text{Cost} | \text{Harvest}) = \text{Poisson}(\theta^T \text{Harvest}) \)
Summary of today’s lecture

• Encode knowledge / structures using a DAG

• How to check conditional independence algebraically by the factorizations?

• How to read off conditional independences from a DAG
  – d-separation, Bayes Ball algorithm, Markov Blanket

• Remarks on BN inferences and continuous variables
  (More examples, e.g., Hidden Markov Models, see AIMA 13.3)
Additional resources about PGM

• Recommended: Ch.2 Jordan book. AIMA Ch. 12-13.

• More readings (if you need to use PGMs in the future):
  – Probabilistic programming: http://probabilistic-programming.org/wiki/Home

• Software for PGMs and modeling and inference:
  – Stan: https://mc-stan.org/
  – JAGS: http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/
Upcoming lectures

• Apr 19: Problem solving by search
• Apr 21: Search algorithms
• Apr 26: Minimax search and game playing
• Apr 28: Midterm review.

• Recommended readings on search:
  – AIMA Ch 3, Ch 5.1-5.3.